## COLLEGE WARD PROFILE 2013

## OVERVIEW

College ward is located in the centre of the Borough, part of the market town of Wellington.

The 2011 Census found a total population of 3,091 with almost a third ( $32.6 \%$ ) of these residents from a BME background, three times the Borough rate of 10.6\%.

College is divided in deprivation levels with the north of the ward ranking in the 30-40\% least deprived of areas and the south ranking in the $10 \%$ most deprived nationally.

The proportion of households claiming housing or Council Tax benefit in College is higher than Borough wide ( $32.6 \%$ compared to $29.1 \%$ ), particularly for households without dependent children ( $24.3 \%$ compared to $18.8 \%$ ).


A fifth $(20.1 \%)$ of children in the ward are living in poverty, slightly lower than the $24.8 \%$ Borough wide.
The proportion of people claiming job seekers allowance (JSA) is higher than the Borough rate across all categories, with the total JSA claimant rate of $5.2 \%$ the fifth highest ward rate in the Borough (3.6\% Borough wide).

Educational attainment in College for Early Year Foundation Stage is the lowest in the Borough with just $14.3 \%$ of pupils achieving a good level of development ( $55.1 \%$ Borough wide). Attainment at Key Stage 2 is also the lowest in the Borough with $52.9 \%$ of pupils achieving a level 4 or more in English and Maths (79.3\% Borough wide).

Rates for crime and antisocial behaviour (ASB) in the ward are higher than Borough wide across all categories, and are in the five highest across the Borough for all categories excepting burglaries and ASB malicious communication.

Satisfaction levels in College are similar to those across the Borough for all measures.

## DEPRIVATION

The table below shows the levels of deprivation within the ward. Each ward is broken down into smaller areas called SOAs (see the map above) and each area is given a national deprivation rank using the following scale:

|  | Most deprived nationally........... |  |  |  |  | ..............least deprived nationally |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scale | $10 \%$ most deprived | $\begin{gathered} 10-20 \% \\ \text { most } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-30\% } \\ \text { most } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30-40 \% \\ \text { most } \\ \text { deprived } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40-50 \% \\ \text { most } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40-50 \% \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30-40 \% \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20-30 \% \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10-20 \% \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $10 \%$ least deprived |


| SOA reference | Overall Deprivation rank | Income | Employment | Health deprivation \& disability | Education, skills \& training | Barriers to housing \& services | Crime \& disorder | Living environment | Income deprivation affecting children | Income deprivation affecting older people |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E01014113 South | $10 \%$ most deprived | $10 \%$ <br> most deprived | $10 \%$ most deprived | $10-20 \%$ <br> most deprived | $10 \%$ most deprived | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40-50\% } \\ & \text { most } \\ & \text { deprived } \end{aligned}$ | $10 \%$ <br> most deprived | $\begin{gathered} 40-50 \% \\ \text { most } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $10-20 \%$ <br> most deprived | $10-20 \%$ <br> most deprived |
| E01014114 <br> North | $\begin{gathered} 30-40 \% \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30-40 \% \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40-50 \% \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-40\% } \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20-30 \% \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-30\% } \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-30\% } \\ \text { most } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-40\% } \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10-20\% } \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 40-50\% } \\ \text { least } \\ \text { deprived } \end{gathered}$ |

## COLLEGE WARD PROFILE 2013

HOUSING \& COUNCIL TAX BENEFIT CLAIMANTS

|  | Households claiming Housing/Council Tax Benefits as at 10/10/12 |  |  | Children eligible for free school meals as at Jan 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With dependent children under 20 | Without dependent children under 20 | All Households claiming Housing/Council Tax Benefits |  |
| College (number) | 120 | 343 | 463 | 75 |
| College (\%) | 8.5\% | 24.2\% | 32.6\% | 20.7\% |
| Borough (number) | 7,216 | 13,206 | 20,422 | 3,785 |
| Borough (\%) | 10.3\% | 18.8\% | 29.1\% | 21.3\% |
| Rank (out of 33) | 15 | 6 | 13 | 11 |

## POVERTY

|  | No of children under 20 'in <br> poverty' as at 31.08 .10 | Fuel Poverty households as <br> at 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| College (number ) | 150 | 257 |
| College (\%) | $20.9 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ |
| Borough (number) | 9,745 | 11,279 |
| Borough (\%) | $24.8 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Rank (out of 33) | 15 | 13 |

## JOB SEEKERS ALLOWANCE AND NEETS

|  | Young people not in education, employment or training (NEETs) Aged 16-18 | People claiming Job Seekers Allowance as at October 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total JSA Claimant rate for 18-64 | Total Youth JSA Claimant rate | Male youth JSA Claimant rate | Female youth JSA Claimant rate |
| College (number) | 9 | 120* | 30* | 20* | 10* |
| College (\%) | 1.8\% (of total NEETs) | 5.2\% | 10.0\% | 6.7\% | 3.3\% |
| Borough (number) | 493 | 4,470 | 1,445 | 940 | 510 |
| Borough (\%) | n/a | 3.6\% | 8.9\% | 5.8\% | 3.1\% |
| Rank (out of 33) | 17 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 13 |

* Numbers have been rounded to the nearest 5
\# Low numbers have been suppressed


## EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

|  | Early Years Foundation Stage <br> Pupils achieving a good level of development | Key Stage 1 <br> Pupils achieving Level 2 or more in English \& Maths | Key Stage 2 <br> Pupils achieving level 4 or more in English \& Maths | Key Stage 4 <br> Pupils achieving 5 GCSE A*$^{*}$-C including English \& Maths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College (\%) | 14.3\% | 85.4\% | 52.9\% | 55.2\% |
| Borough (\%) | 55.1\% | 81.2\% | 79.3\% | 55.0\% |
| Rank (out of 33) | 33 | 15 | 33 | 17 |

## COLLEGE WARD PROFILE 2013

CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR

|  | College (per 1000 pop) | Rank (out of 33) | Borough (per 1000 pop) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Crime | 107.2 | 4 | 61.0 |
| Burglaries | 10.0 | 9 | 8.7 |
| Other Acquisitive Crime | 28.1 | 5 | 16.6 |
| Criminal Damage | 10.3 | 3 | 6.0 |
| Vehicle Crimes | 18.4 | 2 | 10.4 |
| Violent Crime | 31.3 | 2 | 13.4 |
| Other Crime | 9.1 | 5 | 5.8 |
| Total Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB) | 106.9 | 3 | 64.0 |
| ASB - Malicious communication | 15.6 | 6 | 12.1 |
| Other Anti-Social Behaviour | 91.3 | 3 | 51.9 |

\# Numbers below the borough averages have been suppressed

## HOUSING

## Tenure

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { College } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | Borough $2011$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households | \% | \% |
| Owned | Owns outright | 385 | 29.8\% | 27.2\% |
|  | Owns with a mortgage or loan | 330 | 25.5\% | 36.5\% |
| Shared ownership |  | 2 | 0.2\% | 0.4\% |
| Social rented | Rented from Council (Local Authority) | 129 | 10.0\% | 8.1\% |
|  | Other social rented | 161 | 12.5\% | 11.6\% |
| Private rented | Private landlord or letting agency | 216 | 16.7\% | 13.6\% |
|  | Employer of a household member | 19 | 1.5\% | 0.2\% |
|  | Relative or friend of household member | 15 | 1.2\% | 0.9\% |
|  | Other | 5 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% |
| Lives rent free |  | 30 | 2.3\% | 1.2\% |
| All households |  | 1,292 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Dwelling Type

|  |  | College |  | Borough |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  | 2011 |
|  |  | Households | \% | \% |
| House or bungalow | Detached | 355 | 27.5\% | 30.8\% |
|  | Semi-detached | 366 | 28.3\% | 36.7\% |
|  | Terrace (including end terrace) | 245 | 19.0\% | 22.2\% |
| Flat, maisonette or apartment | Purpose built | 261 | 20.2\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Part of a converted or shared house (includes bed-sits) | 28 | 2.2\% | 0.8\% |
|  | In a commercial building | 31 | 2.4\% | 0.5\% |
| Caravan or other mobile or temporary structure |  | 1 | 0.1\% | 0.4\% |
| In a shared dwelling |  | 5 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% |
| All households |  | 1,292 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

VIEWS ON THE BOROUGH AND WARD AS A PLACE TO LIVE

|  | College |  | Borough |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | Rank (out of 33) | \% |
| Satisfied with Telford \& Wrekin as a Place to Live | 77\% | 19 | 77\% |
| Satisfied with their local area | 71\% | 26 | 76\% |
| Feel the Council was making the area a better place to live | 44\% | 25 | 49\% |
| Satisfied with the way Telford \& Wrekin run things | 66\% | 7 | 61\% |
| Agree that their area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together | 78\% | 14 | 75\% |

## POPULATION

## Age Structure

|  | College |  | Borough |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2011 | 2011 |  |
|  | People | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| $0-4$ | 172 | $5.6 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| $5-9$ | 181 | $5.9 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| $10-15$ | 231 | $7.5 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| $16-24$ | 423 | $13.7 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 762 | $24.7 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 829 | $26.8 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| $65-84$ | 430 | $13.9 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| $85+$ | 63 | $2.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| All usual residents | 3,091 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Ethnic background

|  | College |  | Borough |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2011 |
|  | People | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| White British | 2,082 | $67.4 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ |
| Other White | 197 | $6.4 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Mixed/multiple | 62 | $2.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Asian/Asian British | 664 | $21.5 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Black/African/Caribbean <br> /Black British | 69 | $2.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Other ethnic group | 17 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| All BME | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 6} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 \%}$ |
| All usual residents | 3,091 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Religion

|  | College <br> 2011 |  | Borough <br> 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | People | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Buddhist | 13 | $0.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Christian | 1,606 | $52.0 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ |
| Hindu | 15 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Jewish | 3 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Muslim | 567 | $18.3 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Sikh | 43 | $1.4 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Other religion | 9 | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| No religion | 628 | $20.3 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ |
| Religion not stated | 207 | $6.7 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Total with religion | 2,246 | $73.0 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ |
| All usual residents | 3,091 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Telford \& Wrekin Council, while believing the information in this publication to be correct, does not guarantee its accuracy nor does the Council accept any liability for any direct or indirect loss or damage or other consequences, however arising, from the use of such information supplied. For our full Terms and Disclaimer, please see http://www.telford.gov.uk/terms.

For pages 2-4, red and green shading has been used to highlight those areas ranked the best 5 (green) and worst 5 (red) in the Borough.
Full notes detailing the sources of all data and other relevant metadata can be found at www.telford.gov.uk/factsandfigures or by contacting us on 01952380131.

