

From: Janet Clarke
Sent: 03 March 2017 16:10
To: Programme Officer
Subject: Telford and Wrekin Council EiP -Document K24/40a

Newport and District Civic Society response to Document K24/40a

Tina – could you please include this response to K24/40a in the Examination Library

Being mindful that on at least three occasions at the EiP in the afternoon session on 10th February 2017, the Inspector told Mr Hill of RPS that he did not want to hear about historical issues of no relevance to the Examination, we would simply say that for site 520 on page 10 of the Appendix 2 to the above document, the land that has the resolution to grant in principle is not the site H 13.

We have previously commented over the 5 years or so of the consultations leading up to the EiP on the flaws in the process by which T&WC selected sites for housing allocation, so we will not repeat these again unless the Inspector has any further questions he wishes us to address as regards site H 13.

Separately, we would ask for clarification from T&WC as to the inference made at the EiP that the development boundary south of Newport would move to the 'natural boundary' of the A518 by 2019.

We can find no reference in the documents submitted by T&WC to the EiP as to how the process of the extension of the development boundary will be achieved.

As regards the Parish Boundary in the south of Newport, we understand that an application by Newport Town Council to extend this boundary to the A518 was refused by T&WC.

Regards,
Janet Clarke
Newport and District Civic Society