

**From:** Programme Officer  
**Sent:** 30 March 2017 11:18  
**To:** 'Andy Williams'  
**Cc:** 'Seabridge Developments'; 'John Acres'; LocalPlan  
**Subject:** RE: Telford and Wrekin Local Plan Examination

Good morning Andy,

I have shared your concerns with the Inspector who notes your comments.

May I firstly refer you to the response to Mr Seabridge (which is an examination document K24c). The Inspector will shortly be sending an Interim Note to the Council, which will be made available on the examination website, and which will address some of the matters that you have raised. I can also confirm that the Inspector is not seeking further comments from representors at the present stage, but that the formal opportunity will exist to make further representations on any proposed changes as and when Main Modifications are published. In the event of other evidence being added to the examination library, the Inspector will take a view about the need for consultation on a case-by-case basis.

I trust this addresses your concerns satisfactorily

Kind regards

**Tina Kelly**  
Programme Officer  
C/O Development Management  
Telford & Wrekin Council  
PO BOX 457  
Wellington Civic Offices  
Telford  
TF2 2FH

Mobile: 07972657948

Email : [programme.officer@telford.gov.uk](mailto:programme.officer@telford.gov.uk)

**From:** Andy Williams [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 March 2017 09:52  
**To:** Programme Officer  
**Cc:** 'Seabridge Developments'; 'John Acres'  
**Subject:** Telford and Wrekin Local Plan Examination

Tina,

It is of great concern to us that the Council:

- Is not properly and fully answering questions legitimately raised by the Inspector and various participants at the Hearing (for instance questions in relation to what 'infill' is intended to mean, the duty to cooperate, the transparency of the site selection process and the potentially onerous minerals constraints and associated mapping, etc), despite giving assurances that it would do so. It seems to me that the Council either doesn't have the

evidence to substantiate its assertions, or is trying to side-step issues that might render it in some difficulty.

- In the case of Strategic Landscapes, is seeking to continue to make its case long after the hearing session has ended, without being invited to do so, when it couldn't do so on the day it was discussed. Indeed it was my understanding that the Council had conceded that since the 'new' SLA at Lilleshall could not be properly supported by evidence, it would accept its deletion through a Main Modification. This is a most unsatisfactory situation that is compounded by the fact that the Council did not immediately publish its letter (K24a) to the Inspector, or the Inspector's understandable response (K24b) issued on 13 March 2017, until more than two weeks later!

We are becoming increasingly concerned that the Council just expects to receive a report of soundness, instead of addressing the issues and concerns raised, in a proper manner. We fully understand and share the frustration that we now see the Inspector expressing in his recent responses (K24b and K24/40h), but we are comforted to note that he continues to hold this Council to account.

Finally, I would ask whether Section K of the Examination Library is currently up-to-date, or whether any other correspondence has yet to be published, for whatever reason. Furthermore, are you able to advise whether participants will be allowed the opportunity to submit further comments in relation to the 'ongoing' exchanges of correspondence, or whether we are now only in a position to observe a two-way dialogue between the Council and the Inspector.

I would be grateful if you could forward this email to the Inspector.

Kind regards.

*Andy Williams*

Advance Land & Planning Limited  
6 Stafford Place  
Shifnal  
Shropshire  
TF11 9BH  
