

# Telford & Wrekin Council

## Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study

June 2013

Updated June 2016



# Content

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1. <i>Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study</i>	3
1.2. <i>Types of green infrastructure used in the Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study</i>	5
<b>2. Telford and Wrekin's green infrastructure: an overview of existing provision</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1. <i>Quantity, quality and distribution</i>	7
2.2. <i>Functions</i>	10
<b>3. Telford and Wrekin's current and future needs for green infrastructure: what the evidence shows</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1. <i>Evidence and methodology used</i>	12
3.2. <i>Health and wellbeing</i>	14
3.3. <i>Biodiversity</i>	28
3.4. <i>Spatial quality</i>	30
3.5. <i>Environmental resilience</i>	33
<b>4. Appendices</b>	<b>40</b>
4.1. <i>Parish profiles</i>	40
4.2. <i>Full page maps</i>	40
4.3. <i>Suggested green infrastructure interventions</i>	40
4.4. <i>Data confidence appraisal</i>	40



# 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study

The purpose of the *Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study* is to:

- **Identify the areas of greatest need for green infrastructure** in relation to health and wellbeing (including recreation needs), biodiversity, spatial quality and environmental resilience. The areas of greatest need are identified using a range of indicators, including socio- economic data such as population density and health deprivation, and environmental data such as incidence of flooding and wind speeds.
- **Analyse the quantity, quality and distribution of the existing local provision of relevant green infrastructure.**
- **Identify the extent to which there is a surplus or deficiency of green infrastructure in quantity, type and distribution in each parish**
- **Identify the amount of green infrastructure and its performance within the Green Network**

The study provides an evidence base for green infrastructure needs and supports the *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan*. It is designed to assist the formulation of planning policy, meeting the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework to plan positively for green infrastructure (paragraph 114) and to encourage multiple benefits from the use of land in urban and rural areas (paragraph 17).

The *Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study* builds upon Telford & Wrekin Council's 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* and the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Evidence & Analysis Framework*.

This *Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study Update* is aimed at making it consistent with and supportive of the emerging *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan*. The *Local Plan* recognises green infrastructure as an important component in creating sustainable communities. Telford & Wrekin Council places great importance on the protection and provision of its green infrastructure and proposes that this will be delivered through the emerging *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan* policies.

The 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* evaluated Telford and Wrekin's green space provision mainly in terms of the recreational opportunities it offers. It considers the quantity, distribution and quality of publicly accessible space and is used to assess whether communities have appropriate access to good quality recreational or amenity green space.

The Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study uses the following elements of the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*:

- **Locations and extents of recreational sites, with the following additions:**

- Three outdoor sports facilities and a play area for children were added as a result of site visits conducted in April 2013
- Additional recreational sites mapped in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document* as a result differences of definitions
- **Quality percentage scores for those sites that underwent a detailed visit and assessment in 2008.** The scores take into consideration a range of factors including: cleanliness and maintenance, security and safety, vegetation and ancillary accommodation. Each of these elements was rated on a scale of very good (5 points) to poor (1 point) and weighted (multiplied either by 3, 2 or 1) to reflect their relative importance according to consultation findings. A total percentage score was then calculated which has been used as to provide information on the degree to which recreational open space meet local residents' expectations. When time and resources allow, a refresh should be conducted to capture changes that have occurred in the recent years and the results substituted to the 2008 figures presently used.
- **The recommended quantity, quality and accessibility standards are carried forward.** The 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* conducted extensive community consultation to identify local attitudes to existing provision and local expectations for additional or improved provision. This involved:
  - A postal household survey which attracted 572 responses thus providing results accurate to +/- 5% at the 95% confidence interval.
  - An internet survey for children and young people which attracted 227 responses
  - A Parish and Town Councils survey, which was returned by all
  - Two neighbourhood 'drop in' sessions
  - External agencies questionnaires
  - One-to-one interviews with Council officers.

The quantity, quality and accessibility standards recommended in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* were directly derived from this consultation exercise by comparing the results with local levels of provision at the time.

For assessing the degree to which quantity standards are met, this study uses 2011 population figures and the future housing requirement set out in the Council's *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan*.

The 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document* identifies the type, amount, distribution and function of green infrastructure in the borough. Green infrastructure considers the wider multiple benefits that green space offers. The *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document* includes a wider range of green infrastructure types (including private spaces, like residential gardens) than those included in the 2008 assessment and considers 28 different functions.

The Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study provides a companion to the supply analysis in the Green Infrastructure Framework. The Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study focuses on green infrastructure needs. It is organised as follows:

- **Section two provides a high-level recap of Telford and Wrekin’s green infrastructure provision**, summarising the main findings of the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document and integrating updated figures from the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*. The maps and summarised analysis supplied also provide a ‘parish scale’ perspective which had not been presented previously, providing a context and stepping stone for the parish profiles presented in Appendix 1.
- **Section three is the main body of this report and offers a detailed analysis of the needs for green infrastructure**. For each area of need considered, a map coupled with an analysis of the findings are provided, together with explanation of the indicator(s) and thresholds applied. The materials have been organised under four main themes: Health and wellbeing, Biodiversity, Spatial quality and Environmental resilience.
- **Appendix 1 contains parish profiles** – a series of two-page dashboards providing an overview of both supply and needs for green infrastructure and highlighting surpluses and deficiencies wherever feasible in light of the evidence collected.
- **Appendix 2 collates full-page versions of the maps** presented in section three, illustrating different dimensions of needs for green infrastructure.
- **Appendix 3 lists green infrastructure interventions** that can be considered to address the different dimensions of needs and deficiencies identified.
- **Appendix 4 presents a critical appraisal of data confidence**, considering the suitability of the indicators and datasets used as proxy for the different dimensions of green infrastructure needs

## 1.2. Types of green infrastructure used in the Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study

The Telford & Wrekin Council *Green Infrastructure Needs Study* has adopted the green infrastructure typology defined in the *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document and the recreational typology used in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

The green infrastructure types adopted in the *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document are:

### *Cultivated land*

- Agricultural Land
- Orchards
- Allotments and Community Gardens

### *Natural and semi-natural green spaces*

- Grassland, Heathland, Moorland and Scrubland
- Water Bodies
- Water Courses
- Wetlands
- Woodlands

### *Parks and other recreational grounds*

- Outdoor Sports Facilities
- Parks, Public Gardens and Recreation Grounds
- Private Domestic Gardens

*Other green spaces and natural assets*

- Cemeteries, Churchyards and Burial Grounds
- Incidental Green Space
- Institutional Grounds
- Green Roofs
- Street Trees

*Ancillary non-green infrastructure assets*

The following are not green infrastructure assets but are included as they play an important role in enabling green infrastructure to perform its functions

- Facilities for children and young people
- Public Rights of Ways

The 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* focuses on publicly accessible parks and recreational grounds and ancillary non-green infrastructure assets important to support outdoor recreation – i.e.: Outdoor Sports Facilities, Parks & Gardens, Play areas for children, Provision for young people and Amenity Green Space. The 2008 definitions for these types are identical to those adopted in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document* with one exception: Amenity green space. Amenity green spaces are informal recreational spaces most often found in residential areas. The 2012 document considered instead “incidental green space” which combines amenity green space with other informal green spaces such as road verges. To enable the use of the 2008 recommended standards for recreation provision, when considering the needs for green infrastructure supporting recreation, the *Green Infrastructure Needs Study* has revisited the 2012 green infrastructure provision maps (and figures) to apply the 2008 definition of amenity green space. When the term “amenity green space” is used in the analysis below, this should not be interpreted as a synonym for “incidental green space”, but rather as an expansion of the 2012 green infrastructure typology to capture in their own right informal recreational green space in housing areas and maintain coherence with the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

## 2. Telford and Wrekin's green infrastructure: an overview of existing provision

### 2.1. Quantity, quality and distribution

Telford and Wrekin contains 26,187 hectares of green infrastructure, representing just over 90% of the borough's total surface area.

**Table 1 – Green infrastructure provision by type**

Type of green infrastructure (GI)	Area in ha	Percentage of borough's total surface area	Percentage of borough's total green infrastructure
<b>Cultivated land</b>	<b>18101.1</b>	<b>62.34%</b>	<b>69.12%</b>
Agricultural Land	18088.5	62.29%	69.07%
Allotments & Community Gardens	11	0.04%	0.04%
Orchards	1.6	0.01%	0.01%
<b>Natural and semi-natural open spaces</b>	<b>3886.9</b>	<b>13.39%</b>	<b>14.84%</b>
Woodlands	2502.3	8.62%	9.56%
Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	1237.4	4.26%	4.73%
Water Courses	118.6	0.41%	0.45%
Wetlands	28.6	0.10%	0.11%
<b>Parks and recreation grounds</b>	<b>2678.7</b>	<b>9.23%</b>	<b>10.23%</b>
Private Domestic Gardens	2057.5	7.09%	7.86%
Outdoor Sports Facilities	498.3	1.72%	1.90%
Parks, Public Gardens & Recreation Grounds	122.9	0.42%	0.47%
<b>Other green infrastructure</b>	<b>1520.8</b>	<b>5.24%</b>	<b>5.81%</b>
Incidental Green Space	784.5	2.70%	3.00%
Institutional Grounds	515.7	1.78%	1.97%
Water Bodies	184.9	0.64%	0.71%
Cemeteries, Churchyards & Burial Grounds	35.7	0.12%	0.14%
Street Trees	No data	No data	No data
Green Roofs	No data	No data	No data
<b>Total Green Infrastructure</b>	<b>26187.5</b>	<b>90.20%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Not Green Infrastructure</b>	<b>2850.6</b>	<b>9.82%</b>	<b>NA</b>

Telford and Wrekin's green infrastructure is comprised of:

#### *Cultivated land*

Cultivated land – and particularly agricultural land – accounts for a majority (69%) of the green infrastructure. As shown in Map 1, agricultural land is principally located in the North and East of the borough, while orchards and allotments are located on the immediate periphery of some of the densest urban areas – particularly in South Telford.

#### *Natural and semi-natural green spaces*

Natural and semi-natural green space extends over 3,887 hectares, representing 13% of the borough's overall surface area, and just under 15% of the borough's overall green infrastructure.

Amongst the borough's natural and semi-natural green spaces, woodlands are the dominant type. They are not however, evenly distributed: the borough features over 2,500 hectares of forests and wooded area, primarily clustered in the urban southern part of the Borough, including well-known visitor attractions such as part of the Wrekin Forest and the steep wooded slopes of the Severn Gorge Conservation Area / Ironbridge Gorge World Heritage Site.

The borough's natural and semi-natural assets also include a significant amount of grassland and scrubland (1,237 hectares), parts of which are the result of environmental remediation of former industrial or mining sites. The borough's natural and semi natural assets also feature a small amount of wetlands (26 hectares) and rivers, such as the River Severn.

#### *Parks and other recreational grounds*

Many of Telford and Wrekin's residents have access to a private recreation space with 2,058 hectares of private gardens representing just over 7% of the borough's total surface area.

Public recreation facilities include 123 hectares of parks, public gardens and recreation grounds, 498 hectares of outdoor sports facilities, 16 hectares of provision for teenagers and young people, and 4.5 hectares of play areas for children. Although also present in the rural parts of the borough on a scattered basis, these public recreation facilities are primarily associated with the urban areas. The audit conducted as part of the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* shows great variations in quality depending on location and type:

- Quality scores for spaces classified as 'parks' averaged 80.6%, with individual values ranging from 58% (Victoria Park in Newport) to 100% (Telford Park North in Telford Central)
- Quality scores for spaces classified 'amenity greenspace' averaged 63.1%, with individual values ranging from 30% (Quarry View Accessible Green Space in the rural settlement of Waters Upton) to 98% (Glendale in Telford Central)
- Quality scores for outdoor sports facilities averaged 67.1%, with individual values ranging from 32% (Trench Bowling Club, in Telford North East) to 100% (Bowring Park in Telford North West, and Ercall Magna bowling club)

#### *Other green spaces and natural assets*


Telford and Wrekin contains a large amount (785 hectares) of incidental green space, an overwhelming majority of which is found in the urban areas – where it is most often associated with the highway network. Madeley and Lawley and Overdale parishes both have over 80 hectares of incidental green space. This represents respectively over 9.4% and 14% of the total surface areas of these parishes, a very large amount given the urban character of these parts of the borough. Other parishes where a high percentage of land is used as incidental green space are Stirchley and Brookside (44 hectares representing 13.4% of the parish area), Oakengates (39.7 hectares representing 10.7% of the parish area), Hollinswood and Randlay (45.6 hectares representing 10% of the parish area), St. Georges and Priorslee (47.8 hectares representing 9% of the parish area). On a borough-wide basis incidental green space represents only 2.7% of the land area, which demonstrates how large the concentrations highlighted above are.



Institutional grounds (516 hectares) represent less than 2% of the borough's green infrastructure.

For further analysis of the quantity and distribution of Telford and Wrekin's green infrastructure, refer to the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis*.

**Map 1 – Telford and Wrekin Green Infrastructure Composite Typology Map**




## 2.2. Functions

The functions provided by green infrastructure in Telford and Wrekin are mapped in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document. This analysis considered 28 different functions which peer reviewed academic research has shown green infrastructure can perform.

A type of green infrastructure was judged to provide a function if triggered by the existence of a particular feature, such as a public right of way. For further details on the 28 functions analysed and the triggers considered, please refer to the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Evidence and Analysis Framework*.

The function mapping below (Map 2) shows two prominent areas of the borough where green infrastructure performs a high number of functions: the Wrekin Hill and the Ironbridge Gorge. Since these two areas include significant amounts of woodland this also highlights the value of this type of green infrastructure in delivering multiple benefits.

**Map 2 – Functions performed by green infrastructure in Telford**





**Table 2 – Area weighted average number of green infrastructure functions performed per site in each parish**

The Gorge CP	8.9
Little Wenlock CP	7.7
Dawley Hamlets CP	5.5
Lilleshall CP	5.3
Chetwynd Aston and Woodcote CP	5.3
Edgmond CP	5.2
Great Dawley CP	5.2
Church Aston CP	5.1
Chetwynd CP	5.0
Madeley CP	4.7
Wrockwardine CP	4.7
Rodington CP	4.6
Stirchley and Brookside CP	4.6
Ketley CP	4.5
Ercall Magna CP	4.5
Waters Upton CP	4.4
Tibberton and Cherrington CP	4.4
Donnington and Muxton CP	4.4
St. Georges and Priorslee CP	4.3
Kynnersley CP	4.3
Oakengates CP	4.2
Lawley and Overdale CP	4.2
Preston upon the Weald Moors CP	4.1
Hollinswood and Randlay CP	4.0
Hadley and Leegomery CP	3.6
Wrockwardine Wood and Trench CP	3.5
Eyton upon the Weald Moors CP	3.4
Wellington CP	3.0
Newport CP	2.9

In certain areas of the borough, including Newport and Wellington parishes, the green infrastructure performs very few functions (see Table 2 above). This is not in itself an indication that the green infrastructure in these locations is performing badly but it highlights the need for greater examination – to explore the possibility of increasing the number of functions in light of the needs that have been identified in section 3.

### 3. Telford and Wrekin's current and future needs for green infrastructure: what the evidence shows

#### 3.1. Evidence and methodology used

The areas of greatest need for amount and type of green infrastructure are identified using a range of indicators. These indicators include:

- Socio-economic data such as population and health statistics
- Environmental data such as incidence of flooding and wind speed
- Land use and urban morphology observations such as settlement boundaries

Each indicator is combined with relevant thresholds beyond which needs are deemed to be significant. The thresholds applied are derived from one of the three following types of benchmarks and sources:

- Telford & Wrekin Council's evidence base - e.g. quantity, quality and accessibility standards recommended in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*
- National or local averages - e.g. national obesity prevalence
- Peer-reviewed research findings - e.g. Lawson criteria on wind exposure for pedestrian comfort

Where changes in population numbers directly impact levels of need (e.g. sport, leisure and recreation provision), the assessment presented below considers both current and anticipated population figures. The population projection used to ascertain future need is based on the housing requirement presented in the *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan Publication version*.

The *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan Publication version* identifies a borough-wide plan target of 15,555 net new dwellings up to 2031. This total figure of 15,555 net new dwellings was divided amongst the parishes using development sites data provided by the Council<sup>1</sup>.

For each area of need considered, maps coupled with analysis of the findings are provided below alongside an explanation of the indicator(s) and thresholds applied. The maps supplied are small in format; full-page versions can be found in Appendix 2. The materials have been organised under four main themes: Health and wellbeing, Biodiversity, Spatial quality, Environmental resilience. Some dimensions of need examined could fit under more than one heading. For example, the need to manage the negative impacts of vehicular traffic in terms of noise and air quality will serve both spatial quality and health purposes. The groupings provided are therefore indicative only.

The parish profiles presented in Appendix 1 consider side by side the needs analysed below with the local green infrastructure supply. As highlighted in the Introduction (see 1.1) this provides a basis for identifying surpluses and deficiencies in different green infrastructure types. Officer judgement will be required in weighing up the relative importance of different

---

<sup>1</sup> Current population figures are from the 2011 Census. The Council housing growth figure was converted to population growth by assuming an average of 2.3 residents per household, and added to the current population figures to give projected future populations.

dimensions of need and potential actions to address the surpluses and deficiencies highlighted. Appendix 3 provides some suggestions on actions to consider.

## 3.2. Health and wellbeing

This theme considers needs related to people's health and wellbeing, including recreation needs.

### Need for publicly accessible recreation space

#### INDICATORS:

- Extent to which each parish currently meets quantity standards for parks and gardens, amenity green space, provision for young people, and provision for children
- Extent to which, given the future housing requirement, each parish will meet quantity standards for parks and gardens<sup>2</sup>, amenity green space<sup>3</sup>, provision for young people<sup>4</sup>, and provision for children<sup>5</sup>
- Quality of parks and gardens, amenity green space, provision for young people, and provision for children
- Areas within accessibility standard buffers of parks and gardens, amenity green space, provision for young people, and provision for children.

**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Maps 3, 5, 7 and 11 consider current needs for parks and gardens, amenity green spaces, young people provision and children's play space. Each map shows the extent to which the quantity standard recommended in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* for such facilities are met by existing provision within each ward - i.e.:

- 0.07 hectare of parks and gardens per 1,000 residents
- 1.17 hectares of amenity green space per 1,000 residents
- 0.04 hectares of young people provision per 1,000 residents
- 0.095 hectares of play area for children per 1,000 residents

Maps 4, 6, 8 and 12 consider where future needs for outdoor recreational facilities are expected to be the greatest by showing the extent to which existing provision will, under the future housing requirement set out in the Council's *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan*, allow each parish to meet the same standards.

This is complemented by showing the quality scores achieved by those sites that were visited for the preparation of the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* as well as the areas within recommended accessibility standards (720m and 10km for parks and gardens, 480m for other types) for this type of facilities.

---

<sup>2</sup> The quantity standard recommended in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* for parks and gardens is 0.07 hectares per 1,000 residents

<sup>3</sup> The quantity standard recommended in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* for amenity green space is 1.17 hectares per 1,000 residents

<sup>4</sup> The quantity standard recommended in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* for young people provision is 0.04 hectare per 1,000 residents


<sup>5</sup> The quantity standard recommended in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* for children play is 0.0095 hectare per 1,000 residents

#### *FINDINGS: PARKS AND GARDENS (MAPS 3 AND 4)*


Needs for parks and gardens are pronounced both within Telford and in the surrounding countryside – particularly to the northwest of the borough. In Telford, the most affected urban parishes are:

- Dawley Hamlets
- Donnington and Muxton
- Hadley and Leegomery
- Hollinswood and Randlay
- Ketley
- Lawley and Overdale
- Madeley
- St Georges and Priorslee
- Stirchley and Brookside
- Wrockwardine Wood and Trench

**Map 3 – Current needs for parks and gardens**



**Map 4 – Future needs for parks and gardens given the housing requirement**



While other urban parishes might contain appropriate amounts of parks and gardens, the geographic distribution of existing sites does not always allow for easy access for the entire local population. In Wellington, residents living on the north side of the parish are not within walking distance of such a facility.

Whilst the population growth anticipated under the future housing requirement will increase need throughout the borough for parks and gardens, it does not move any parishes into new categories in terms of quantity.

#### *FINDINGS – AMENITY GREEN SPACE (MAPS 5 AND 6)*


Needs for amenity green space affect both Newport and the south of Telford, together with some of the borough's rural parishes.

In Newport, current provision meets less than 25% of the recommended quantity standards. Current distribution also makes for poor accessibility: residents living in the north or east side of the town are not within walking distance of existing facilities.


In Telford, while most residents have access to a local amenity site (apart from Muxton residents), the size, and most importantly the quality of these sites are less than appropriate. Need for enhanced quality of amenity green space affects all of Telford's

parishes. Needs are greater in Madeley, Hollinswood and Randlay as well as in Stirchley and Brookside (i.e. these are parishes where all or very close to all existing provision were given the lowest quality score when audited in 2008).

**Map 5 – Current needs for amenity green space**



**Map 6 – Future needs for amenity green space given the housing requirement**



Anticipated new developments will exacerbate existing needs, putting more pressure on existing sites. If no additional provision is made, quantity shortfall will become more severe.


#### *FINDINGS – PROVISION FOR YOUNG PEOPLE (MAPS 7 AND 8)*

A large number of parishes (22 out of 29) do not meet the recommended standard for quantity of provision for young people. Needs are found both in rural and urban areas. Of most concern are those parishes where the population of young people and children (who will in a few years also need these facilities) is high (see maps 9 and 10).


The parish of Hadley and Leegomery will have significantly greater needs for provision for young people in the future given the housing requirement. This parish has a high population of children today (in 2011, over 16% of the population was aged under 10). As the children age and new developments occur, need will increase.




**Map 7 – Current needs for provision for young people**




**Map 8 – Future needs for provision for young people given the housing requirement**



**Map 9 – Percentage of population aged 11-18**



**Map 10 – Percentage of population aged 0-10**




#### *FINDINGS – PROVISION FOR CHILDREN (MAPS 11 AND 12)*

In comparison to young people's needs, children's needs for provision to play and recreate are less acute. Only 14 parishes do not meet quantity standards. Unmet needs where significant demand already exists (as shown on map 10) are found in:


- Ketley: over 14% of the population is aged under 10, the parish is deficient in quantity of provision, while many areas are out of walking reach of such facilities
- Lawley and Overdale: over 16% of the population is aged under 10, the parish is deficient in quantity of provision, and some areas of the parish do not meet the recommended accessibility standard
- Preston upon the Weald Moors
- Lilleshall
- Donnington and Muxton

Outside Great Dawley, most parishes in Telford are expected to have shortages given the future housing requirement.

**Map 11 – Current needs for provision for children**



**Map 12 – Future needs for provision for children given the housing requirement**




## Need for sports pitches

### INDICATORS:


- Extent to which each parish currently meets quantity standards for outdoor sports facilities; extent to which each parish will meet quantity standards (given the housing requirement) for outdoor sports facilities
- Quality of outdoor sports facilities
- Areas within accessibility standard buffers of outdoor sports facilities.

**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 13 considers current needs for outdoor sports facilities by showing the extent to which existing outdoor sports pitches provision in each parish meet the quantity standard recommended in 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* for this type of community facility- i.e.: 1.8 hectares per 1,000 residents. Map 14 considers future needs for outdoor sports facilities by showing the extent to which existing provision will, given the future housing requirement set out in the Council's *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan*, enable each parish to meet the same standard. Both maps also show the quality scores achieved by those playing pitches that were visited for the preparation of the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*, as well as the areas within recommended accessibility standards (720 metres and 10 kilometres) for these types of facilities.

**Map 13 – Current needs for outdoor sports facilities**



**Map 14 – Future needs for outdoor sports facilities given the housing requirement**





## FINDINGS

Current needs for outdoor sports facilities are well met in large portions of the borough. Only nine parishes – of which four rural (Chetwynd, Waters Upton, Kynnersley and Eyton upon the Weald Moors) and five within Telford (The Gorge, Hadley and Leegonmery, Lawley and Overdale, St George and Priorslee, and Hollinswood and Randlay) – do not meet the quantity standards for such facilities.


Under the housing requirement set out by the Council, needs are expected to grow, particularly in Ercall Magna and Ketley.

## Need for contact with and access to nature


### INDICATORS:

- Extent to which each parish currently meets quantity standards for natural and semi-natural open space
- Extent to which each parish will meet quantity standards (given the housing requirement) for natural and semi-natural open space
- Quality of natural and semi-natural open space
- Areas within accessibility standard buffers of natural and semi-natural open space

**Map 15 – Current needs for contact with and access to nature**



**Map 16 – Future needs for contact with and access to nature given the housing requirement**



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 15 considers current needs for contact and access to nature by showing for each parish the extent to which existing natural and semi-natural open space provision meet the quantity standard recommended in 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* for this type of facility i.e. 6.0 hectares per 1,000 residents in urban areas, and 15.3 hectares per 1,000 residents in rural settings. Map 16 considers future needs for contact and access to nature by showing the extent to which existing natural and semi-natural open space provision will, given the future housing requirement set out in the Council's *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan*, enable each parish to meet the recommended quantity standard. Both maps also show the quality scores achieved by those natural and semi-natural open space sites that were visited for the preparation of the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* as well as the areas within recommended accessibility standards (480 metres) for this type of facility.

### *FINDINGS*

In terms of quantity and accessibility, rural parishes are where the greatest needs can be found. Most urban parishes have appropriate provision to provide their residents with access to nature. Only Hadley and Leegomery, Newport, Oakengates, and Wellington do not meet quantity standards for natural and semi-natural green space. However, in urban areas, the quality of existing provision is more often than not significantly below recommended standards. The quality scores provided in 2008 do not focus on the ecological value of the sites, but rather on their attractiveness for use by residents. Whilst residents might have appropriate extents of natural or semi-natural green spaces near their doorstep the 2008 site audits found that cleanliness and maintenance, vegetation, ancillary accommodation, and security and safety often did not meet best practice standards or local expectations – particularly in Newport, Ketley, Great Dawley and The Gorge.

Anticipated growth will put greater pressures on existing provision in the parishes located on the Telford northern urban-rural fringe: needs across Lawley and Overdale, Preston upon the Weald Moors, and St Georges and Priorslee will get worse than they currently are.

### **Need for allotments**

#### *INDICATORS:*


- Extent to which existing provision in each parish currently meets the quantity standards for allotments
- Extent to which each parish will, given the housing requirement, meet quantity standards for allotments; quality of allotments; areas within accessibility standard buffers of allotments.

*MAPPING TECHNIQUE:* Map 17 considers current need for allotments by showing the extent to which existing allotment provision in each parish meets the recommended quantity standards for such facilities. Map 18 considers future need for allotments by showing the extent to which existing provision will, given the future housing requirement set out in the Council's *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan*, enable each parish to meet the quantity standard recommended in 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* for this type of community facility, i.e. 0.07 hectares per 1,000 residents. This is complemented by showing the quality scores achieved by those allotment sites that were visited for the preparation of the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* as well as the areas within recommended accessibility standards (720 metres) for this type of facility.


### *FINDINGS*

Need for allotments is high, and will become higher as new housing is developed. Parishes located in the centre and northwest side of Telford show the greatest levels of need in the urban areas. Shortages also exist in rural locations – however, this is potentially less of a priority given that in such environments, private gardens may be large enough to allow residents to grow food within their own premises.

Map 17 – Current needs for allotments



Map 18 – Future needs for allotments given the housing requirement



## Need for green travel routes<sup>6</sup>

### INDICATORS:

- Current population movement gradient between residential areas and workplaces and/or residential areas and schools
- Future population movement gradient (given the housing requirement) between residential areas and workplaces and/or residential areas and schools.

**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 19 was produced by using a hydrological model as an analogy for the movement of people through the borough. Centres of population were made analogous to mountain peaks, and destinations (schools and centres of employment) were made analogous to low points in the terrain. A surface was interpolated and areas of greatest slope were considered to be where the greatest numbers of people would want to travel. The data sources used were: 2011 population figures, 2001 workplace population figures, and 2011-12 pupil numbers from Department for Education. The resulting map does not identify specific routes for greening, but instead areas of the borough where large numbers of people are likely to want to pass through regularly. Map 20 relies on a similar approach using anticipated population figures under the housing requirement set out by the Council's *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan* to describe future needs. To assist with the interpretation, a further map (21) highlights where changes in demand for green travel routes are expected to occur by comparing the anticipated future state with the current needs.

### FINDINGS

Green travel routes between people's homes and places where they shop, work or go to school are most needed in urban parishes. Strong concentrations of need include:

- Newport
- Central Telford: the shopping facilities, institutions and other work places clustered in Telford Town Centre are a major destination and as such generate the strongest needs for accessibility through green(er) travel routes
- Parishes in northern Telford, particularly:


<sup>6</sup> As in the 2012 Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document, "green travel routes" refer to off road routes through greenery for pedestrians and cyclists (for recreational purposes as well as for getting between places). These might include public rights-of-ways, Sustrans, and private routes which are not on roads.

- Around Wellington town centre and the areas immediately to the north
- Hadley and Leegomery – where the hospital as well Hadley Park and Hadley Learning Community are likely destinations for surrounding communities
- Wrockwardine Wood and Trench as well as Muxton and Donnington (respectively in Wrockwardine Wood and Trench, and Lilleshall, Muxton and Donnington)
- In Oakengates around the train station, the Sports & Learning Community and retail and other facilities around Market Street
- In St Georges and Priorslee – where St Georges Primary School and the Priorslee Campus are expected to be likely destinations for surrounding communities
- Parishes in the south of Telford, particularly:
  - Around schools and communities in Stirchley and Brookside
  - Across Woodside and Sutton Hill in Madeley, as well as around the Madeley Centre and Tesco Superstore

The locations of future potential new school sites or employment areas are not known to a high enough level of detail to input into the model used to identify needs for green travel routes. What available data can show, however, is how demand for short distance travel is likely to become greater – thus creating further needs for good green travel routes. This is particularly the case in the vicinity of some of the *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan Publication version* major proposed housing development sites, including:


- Around the southern edge of Newport
- At the north end of Muxton
- To the east of Priorslee
- Near Lightmoor, Doseley and Horsehay
- Near Lawley
- To the north of Red Lake

**Map 19 – Current needs for green travel routes**






**Map 20 – Future needs for green travel routes given the housing requirement**



**Map 21 – Change in needs for green travel routes given the housing requirement**



## Need for healthier, more active lifestyles

**INDICATORS:** Prevalence of obesity amongst adults; coronary heart disease admission episodes per unit population

**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Maps 22 and 23 use 2003-05 statistics on obesity prevalence amongst adults and 2007-08 coronary heart disease admission episodes per unit population aged 40 or more from the NHS Information Centre for Health and Social Care to map needs for healthier, more active lifestyles.


### FINDINGS

Local health statistics<sup>7</sup> for Telford and Wrekin indicate that 28.4% of adults (16 years and over) are estimated to be obese. This is close to five percentage points greater than the national average (23.6%). Telford and Wrekin Obesity Strategy Partnership Group has identified the creation of environments that enable children and their families to make healthy lifestyle choices as a key priority. Map 23 provides clear indications of where such environmental interventions are most needed:


- All of Telford (16 parish councils), as well as Newport and the four rural parishes on the west side of the borough have obesity levels amongst adults above national average
- Six Parishes clustered in the centre and on the south side of Telford have adult obesity levels greater than 30% – i.e. significantly beyond both the borough's own already high average obesity prevalence and the national average: Oakengates, Ketley, Hollinswood and Randley, Great Dawley, Stichley and Brookside, and Madeley. Amongst these six, Oakengates Parish Council has the highest obesity prevalence.

<sup>7</sup> Source: Obesity (Children, Young People and Adults), 23 January 2013 report to the Health and Wellbeing Board by Clare Harland, Health Improvement Commissioner, NHS Telford and Wrekin and Louise Mills, Head of Health Inequalities and Lifestyle, NHS Telford and Wrekin

**Map 22 – Need for healthier, more active lifestyles: Obesity prevalence amongst adults**



**Map 23 – Need for healthier, more active lifestyles: Coronary heart diseases admission episodes per unit population aged 40+**




In 2012, the average admission rate for coronary heart diseases (CHD) in England was 0.04 per unit of population aged 40 or more. CHD is the most common single cause of death in England (13% of all deaths in 2011). CHD prevalence increases significantly after 40 – therefore mapping related hospital admission per unit of population aged 40 or more removes the impact that the local population age profiles will have on the data helping to highlight the role of other critical factors such as lifestyle choices (diet, physical activity, smoking). As a whole, hospital admission rate for CHD in Telford and Wrekin borough are comparable to national average. However, variations exist amongst parishes: Eyton upon the Weald Moors, Preston upon the Weald Moors, and Ketley have CHD-related hospital admission rates greater than 0.06 – which is well beyond the 0.04 national average. Other parishes with CHD hospital admission rates higher than the national average are Chetwynd, Ercall Magna, Hadley and Leegonmery, Kynnersley, Little Wenlock, Madeley, Oakengates and Wellington.

## Need for improved mental health

**INDICATOR:** Prevalence of common mental illnesses.

**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Because people with common mental illnesses most often do not normally use specialist mental health services, Map 24 uses an index for estimating prevalence of common mental illnesses developed by P. Heady and V. Ruddock of the Office of National Statistics (ONS) based on datasets collected through the 1993 National Psychiatric Morbidity Survey. This methodology is now used by health observatories around the country. Full details on this model can be found in the 1996 *Report on a project to estimate the incidence of psychiatric morbidity in small areas* by Heady and Ruddock, Methods and Quality Division, Office for National Statistics.

## Map 24 – Need for improved mental health




## FINDINGS

Areas of highest likely needs are located within Telford, particularly Madeley, Great Dawley, Sticheley and Brookside, Hollinswood and Randley and Ketley.


## Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun

**INDICATOR:** Concentration of populations most sensitive to heat and sun radiation: children and older people.

## Map 25 – Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun



## Map 26 (same as on p. 16 above) – Percentage of population aged 0-10



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 25 considers greatest needs for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun by showing:

- The proportion of population older than 65 for each parish based on 2011 updated census figures
- School locations (Department for Education data), play areas identified in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment* (updated figures) as well as retirement and nursing homes from OS MasterMap Address Layer 2

The findings presented below also took into consideration Map 26 showing the proportion children 10 years old and younger for each parish, based on 2011 updated census figures

## FINDINGS


Elderly populations aged 65+ represent a larger proportion of the local population in rural parishes such as Ercall Magna, Little Wenlock and Chetwynd Aston and Woodcote. In urban areas, the 3 parishes with highest proportions of people age 65+ are Newport, Wellington and Oakengates. These parishes include a large number of schoolyards, playgrounds and nursing and retirement homes. All other urban parishes also contain such facilities catering to children or elderly people.

Children aged 10 or less represent a larger proportion of the local population in Telford – particularly in Hadley and Leegomery, Lawley and Overdale and Stirchley and Brookside.

## Need for green infrastructure supporting healing

*INDICATOR:* Immediate environment of hospitals.

**Map 27 – Need for green infrastructure to supporting healing**



*MAPPING TECHNIQUE:* Map 27 draws a one-kilometre buffer around the Princess Royal Hospital.

### *FINDINGS*


Quality green environment facilitating healing are most needed in the vicinity of the Princess Royal Hospital in Leegomery and neighbouring Wellington.



## Need for green infrastructure supporting learning

**INDICATOR:** Walking distance from educational establishment.

**Map 28 – Need for green infrastructure to supporting learning**



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 28 draws one-kilometre buffers around educational establishments registered with Department of Education.

### **FINDINGS**


All parishes have some level of need. In rural areas needs are concentrated around the local school(s). By contrast, most locations within urban parishes in Newport and Telford are within walking distance of an educational establishment – in these locations the educational potential of green

infrastructure should therefore systematically be considered.

## Need for quality burial space

**INDICATOR:** Quality of cemeteries and churchyards.

**Map 29 – Need for quality burial space**



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 29 identifies the need for quality burial spaces based on the quality scores provided for cemeteries and churchyards in the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

### **FINDINGS**

Most parishes contain at least one churchyard or cemetery that scored less than 75% during the 2008 quality audit. Exceptions are: Newport (where the two local churchyards received the

top mark), Chetwynd, Kynnersley, Preston upon the Weald Moors, Eyton upon the Weald Moors and Stirchley and Brookside.

The sites that received the lowest quality scores in 2008 were:

- Talbot chapel in the village of Longford in Edgmond
- The Baptist cemetery in central Telford
- St Georges Parish Church in St Georges and Priorslee

### 3.3. Biodiversity


This theme considers wildlife needs.

#### Need for good quality habitat for wildlife

**INDICATORS:** Existing and proposed habitat designations; condition status of designated sites.

**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 30 identifies needs for habitat for wildlife by showing all existing and proposed Local Nature Reserves, existing Local Wildlife Sites as well as existing Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs). In addition, the map highlights SSSIs in unfavourable conditions<sup>8</sup>.

##### Map 30 – Need for habitat for wildlife



##### FINDINGS

20 parishes across the borough contain an existing or proposed designated habitat site. Concerns for nationally protected sites experiencing unfavourable conditions are found in: Newport (Newport Canal), Rodington and Wrockwardine (Allscott Settling Ponds), Little Wenlock (Lydebrook Dingle), The Gorge (Lincoln Hill) and Muxton (Muxton Marsh).

#### Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement

**INDICATOR:** Proximity to habitat for wildlife.


**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 31 applies a 100-metre buffer around existing and proposed designated sites (see Map 30) to show where greatest needs for enhanced permeability for wildlife are.

<sup>8</sup> Natural England assesses the condition of SSSIs using standard methods developed with the Joint Nature Conservation Committee ([www.jncc.gov.uk](http://www.jncc.gov.uk)). Each site is divided into monitoring areas called 'units'. Following the assessment, a unit will fall under one of the following categories:

- 'Favourable condition': This means that special habitats and features are in a healthy state and are being conserved for the future by appropriate management.
- 'Unfavourable – recovering condition': This means that all necessary management measures are in place to address the reasons for unfavourable condition – if these measures are sustained, the site will recover over time.
- 'Unfavourable – no change' or 'Unfavourable – declining condition': These are the terms used to describe sites where the Special Features of a site are not being adequately conserved, or are being lost. If appropriate management measures are not put in place, and damaging impacts are not addressed, these sites will never reach a favourable or recovering condition.
- 'Part destroyed or Destroyed': These terms describe a very small number of sites where there has been fundamental and lasting damage – the Special Features have been lost permanently. Favourable condition cannot be achieved at such sites.

In Telford & Wrekin, there are no SSSI falling under the later categories. Sites highlighted as being in 'unfavourable conditions' on map 40 correspond to those sites found to be in 'Unfavourable – no change' or 'Unfavourable – declining condition' when last assessed by Natural England.

**Map 31 – Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movements**



*FINDINGS*

Climate change is likely to create increased need for movement, especially northward and uphill. Areas of potential need can be found in each location where existing or proposed habitat designations exist – as described for Map 30.

Of particular interest are the locations where areas mapped as potential locations for enhanced permeability overlap or join

one another: in such instances, enhancement would allow connection to larger sites.

Examples of this include some of the buffer areas identified around the following sites:

- Lydebrook Dingle SSSI, where it connects with woodland sites south of Lightmoor, down to the Severn Gorge
- Tweedale Wood, Madeley Court, Lightmoor, Vale Coppice and Oilhouse Coppice, five local wildlife sites along Queensway (A4169) north of Madeley
- Donnington Wood to Wrockwardine Wood on the northeast side of Telford


### 3.4. Spatial quality

This theme considers needs related to the effective design and use of space.


#### Need for separation of built-up areas

*INDICATORS:* Interface between industrial and residential areas; open space and countryside preventing coalescence between Telford and Newport.

**Map 32 – Need for separation of built-up areas**



**Map 33 – Location of residential and main industrial or commercial areas**



*MAPPING TECHNIQUE:* Map 32 identifies areas of need for the separation of built-up areas by highlighting fringes between industrial and residential neighbourhoods as well as the open countryside on either side of the A518 (Wellington Rd) ensuring Telford and Newport remain two distinct settlements. To help further illustrate how this map was derived, map 33 shows the locations of residential and industrial/commercial areas

#### *FINDINGS*

Need for separation between built-up areas has been identified across 14 parishes. For Telford and Newport to remain two distinct settlements, the open countryside surrounding the villages of Church Aston, Chestwynd Aston and Lilleshall and Muxton needs to be preserved.


In Telford, several industrial estates abutting residential areas also create needs for careful design and management of linear “in-between” spaces, often (albeit not always), consisting of incidental green space along highways.

#### Need for attractive environments to support local businesses and the visitor economy

*INDICATORS:* Retail streets, visitor and heritage attractions and main town entrances.



**Map 34 – Need for attractive environments to support local businesses and the visitor economy**



**MAPPING TECHNIQUES:** Map 34 shows tourism and heritage attractions, 100m buffers of retail streets, and 200m buffers of main town entrances (railway stations and where main roads enter towns).

#### **FINDINGS**


Key areas of needs for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy include:

- The High Street in Newport
- The town centre and train station area in Wellington
- The town centre and train station area in Oakengates, and St Georges and Priorslee
- Telford Town Parks across Hollinswood and Randlay, Stirchley and Brookside and Great Dawley
- The conservation area and World Heritage Site in The Gorge
- The Ercall and the Wrekin in Little Wenlock

### **Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic**

**INDICATOR:** Proximity of high traffic roads areas

**Map 35 – Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic**



**MAPPING TECHNIQUES:** Map 35 shows where the greatest needs for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic by highlighting 100-metre buffers along motorways, A roads and dual carriageways that are within urban areas.

#### **FINDINGS**

Needs are primarily found in Oakengates, St. Georges and Priorslee, Hollinswood and Randlay, Stirchley and Brookside, Madeley, Ketley and Wellington.

### **Need for green infrastructure to support traffic calming**

**INDICATOR:** Existing low-speed neighbourhoods.


It would have desirable to expand the indicators used to consider:

- Areas where traffic calming measures have been proposed or requested

- Roads that are oversized (in terms of width) given enforceable speed limitations  
This was not possible due to limitation in data availability.

**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 36 considers needs for green infrastructure to support traffic calming by showing 100-metre buffers of along streets with 20mph speed limit.

**Map 36 – Need for green infrastructure to support traffic calming**



#### **FINDINGS**


Seven parishes have 20mph zones: Newport, Wellington, Oakengates, Lawley and Overdale, Great Dawley, Dawley Hamlets and The Gorge. As highlighted above, due to lack of data, this does not reflect the range of local needs for traffic calming, as it does not capture the locations where speeding occurs along larger or smaller roads and the creation of a 20 mph zone is either not an adequate response to the issue, or not implemented.

## **Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets**

**INDICATOR:** Immediate surroundings of heritage designations.

This coarse approach provides more an indication of potential landscape sensitivity than an actual measure of needs. Each site will have a different need that warrants bespoke investigation. Fine grain spatial data reflecting these individual needs was not available to inform the present study. The findings derived from the coarse approach taken therefore provide a non-exhaustive map of areas where further investigations are needed.

**Map 37 – Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets**



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 37 identifies needs for preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets by showing 100-metre buffers around listed buildings, World Heritage Site, scheduled monuments, heritage parks and gardens.

#### **FINDINGS**

All parishes have some areas where further investigations on the needs for preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets warrant further investigation.

### 3.5. Environmental resilience


This theme considers needs related to environmental quality and climate change.

#### **Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness**

*INDICATOR:* Upstream of historic flooding in settlements.

*MAPPING TECHNIQUE:* Map 38 identifies needs for water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness by highlighting areas that are upstream of settlements that have been affected by flooding in the past.

**Map 38 – Need for water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness**




#### *FINDINGS*

The only parish entirely free of need for water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness is Stirchley and Brookside. This helps demonstrate how widely concerns for the cumulative impact of local land use and land management decision on flooding issues ought to be applied.

#### **Need for water conveyance**

*INDICATORS:* Downstream of historic flooding in settlements; high quality agricultural land.

**Map 39 – Need for water conveyance**



*MAPPING TECHNIQUE:* Map 39 identifies greatest needs for water conveyance by showing river corridors located downstream of settlements where flooding has occurred in the past and Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (there is no Grade 1 in Telford and Wrekin).

#### *FINDINGS*

Needs for water conveyance are concentrated in the rural parishes of the north Shropshire plain (Chetwynd Aston


and Woodcote, Church Aston, Edgmond, Chetwynd, Tibberton and Cherrington, Preston upon Weald Moors, Eyton upon Weald Moors, Waters Upton, Ercall Magna, Rodington and Wrockwardine) as well as along the River Severn in The Gorge.



## Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought

**INDICATOR:** High-grade agricultural land and other high-value green infrastructure.

### Map 40 – Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 40 identifies greatest needs for availability of water for irrigation during drought by showing:

- Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (there is no Grade 1 in Telford and Wrekin)
- Green infrastructure identified in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Evidence and Analysis Framework* as performing more than 14 functions.

It is essential to be able to irrigate green infrastructure that people value most.

Good capacity for food production fulfils a vital need and was therefore used alongside with multifunctionality proxies for value.


#### FINDINGS

Needs for availability of water for irrigation during drought are found in all parishes.

## Need for wind shelter

**INDICATOR:** Average wind speed.

### Map 41 – Need for wind shelter



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE** Map 41 identifies needs for wind shelter by showing average wind speed at 10 metres above ground level as recorded in the Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Windspeed Database.

Use of higher resolution wind speed measurement data or of modelling designed to better take into consideration the impact of buildings would have provided a better basis for reflecting needs

associated with localised wind tunnel effects.

#### FINDINGS

The main reference in England regarding wind environment criteria for pedestrian comfort and safety was developed by Tom V. Lawson (Building Aerodynamics, 2001, Imperial College Press) from Bristol University. The acceptability of wind speed is subjective and depends on a number of factors, most notably the activities to be performed. The Lawson criteria has



been developed to enable a quantitative assessment of acceptability for particular activities in terms of “comfort” and “distress” (safety) as shown below:

Table 3: Lawson’s criteria for pedestrian comfort

Pedestrian Activity	Threshold mean hourly wind speed not to be exceeded for more than 5% of the time
Business walking	10 m/s
Leisurely walking	8 m/s
Standing	6 m/s
Sitting	4 m/s

Table 4: Lawson’s criteria for pedestrian safety

Pedestrian Activity	Threshold mean hourly wind speed not to be exceeded once per annum
Typical pedestrian	20 m/s
Sensitive pedestrian (*)	15 m/s

(\*) i.e.: those likely to experience distress if wind speeds are over 15m/s, i.e. elderly people, cyclists and children

As highlighted above, the data source and mapping technique used to map wind speeds is rather coarse. The interpretation provided on the basis of the Lawson criteria is consequently high level. Areas with strongest needs for wind shelter (where average wind speeds are greater than six metres per second – which would make standing and sitting outside uncomfortable) are located on the south side of the borough around the Ercall and The Wrekin in Little Wenlock and Lawley and Overdale, Great Dawley, Oakengates and St Georges and Priorslee.

## Need for carbon storage

*INDICATOR* Carbon storage is needed everywhere<sup>9</sup>.

In its 2012 Research Report NERR043 *Carbon storage by habitat: Review of the evidence of the impacts of management decisions and condition of carbon stores and sources*<sup>10</sup>, Natural England explains: “By restoring some habitats such as grasslands or bogs, or promoting active accretion of sediments in intertidal systems, land and marine managers can help mitigate the causes of climate change by directly reducing greenhouse gas emissions, safeguarding carbon stores and in some cases re-starting sequestration. The sustainable management of habitats important for carbon storage therefore contributes to meeting targets for greenhouse gases emission reductions, including the carbon budgets set by the UK Climate Change Act.”

Carbon budgets<sup>11</sup> were introduced as part of the Climate Change Act 2008<sup>12</sup> to help the UK reduce greenhouse gas emissions by at least 80% by 2050. Under a system of carbon


<sup>9</sup> Problematic levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> are a global problem. No matter where carbon is stored, as long as it isn’t released into the atmosphere, an equal contribution is being made to mitigating the problem. Need is therefore equal everywhere. However, there is more opportunity to store carbon in some locations than others.

<sup>10</sup> <http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/file/1438141>

<sup>11</sup> <https://www.gov.uk/government/policies/reducing-the-uk-s-greenhouse-gas-emissions-by-80-by-2050/supporting-pages/carbon-budgets>

budgets, every tonne of greenhouse gases emitted between now and 2050 is taken into account: where emissions rise in one sector, the UK will have to achieve corresponding falls in another. In this context, and as shown on map 42 below, all opportunities ought to be seized to ensure land management choices contribute to the targets defined.

**Map 42 – Need for carbon storage<sup>13</sup>**



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE** Carbon storage is needed everywhere.


**FINDINGS** Carbon storage is needed everywhere.

## Need for food production

**INDICATOR:** Best and most versatile agricultural land

The Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) has highlighted the need to increase food production by 70% by 2050<sup>14</sup> to keep up with an anticipated world population rise to 9.2 billion. Maintaining food production on good quality agricultural land is one of the recommended strategies to meet the global food production challenge.

**Map 43 – Need for food production**



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 43 shows where the areas of greatest need for food production are by highlighting non-built areas with Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (there is no Grade 1 in Telford and Wrekin).

**FINDINGS**

All rural parishes in the North Shropshire plain on the north side of the borough have large tracks of versatile agricultural land.

## Need for ground stabilisation

**INDICATOR:** Steep slopes

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/27/contents>


<sup>13</sup> Carbon storage is the natural process of removing carbon from the atmosphere and storing it in plants, trees and soils. Trees and peat soils are particularly important types of green infrastructure for storing carbon.

<sup>14</sup> *How to Feed the World in 2050*, 2009, FAO.

[http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs/docs/expert\\_paper/How\\_to\\_Feed\\_the\\_World\\_in\\_2050.pdf](http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs/docs/expert_paper/How_to_Feed_the_World_in_2050.pdf)

**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 44 identifies needs for ground stabilisation by mapping slopes.

#### Map 44 – Need for ground stabilisation



#### FINDINGS


Areas with greatest needs for ground stabilisation are located in Little Wenlock and, most notably in The Gorge. Deeply eroded by glacial meltwaters, the steep valley sides of the Ironbridge Gorge have been made further unstable by past quarrying and mining activities, thus representing a landslide hotspot in the entire borough.

### Need for biofuel production

**INDICATORS:** Leisure centres with swimming pools; other leisure centres; educational establishments; other buildings likely to qualify for the Carbon Reduction Commitment (CRC) Energy Efficiency Scheme.

Amongst institutional or public sector controlled buildings, swimming pool halls are amongst the most energy intensive facilities. Other leisure centres and educational establishments also have large energy needs. These occupational criteria were combined with a floor area threshold (explained under 'mapping technique' below) to identify buildings likely to qualify for the CRC Energy Efficiency Scheme. The CRC Energy Efficiency Scheme is a mandatory carbon emissions reduction scheme that applies to large non-energy-intensive organisations in the public and private sectors. It has been estimated that the scheme will reduce carbon emissions by 1.2 million tonnes of carbon per year by 2020, thus helping the British Government meet its commitment<sup>15</sup> to reducing carbon emissions by 80% by 2050 (compared to 1990 levels).

#### Map 45 – Need for biofuel production



**MAPPING TECHNIQUE:** Map 45 considers needs for biofuel production by showing the location of buildings likely to qualify for the CRC Energy scheme i.e. leisure centres with swimming pools and other leisure centres – based on location information available on Telford & Wrekin Council website; educational establishment locations from Department for Education; industrial or commercial buildings with a floor area of 2 hectares of more. The CRC energy

scheme is mandatory for buildings consuming 6,000 MWh per year. This is likely to be

<sup>15</sup> 2008 UK Climate Change Act: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/27/contents>

equivalent to a floor area of 2ha, given a typical energy consumption of around 300 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/yr.

#### FINDINGS


Greatest needs for biofuel are found in all urban parishes in Newport and Telford. The parishes of Wellington, Madeley and Newport have the largest number of buildings meeting the criteria identified above.

### Need for timber production

*INDICATOR:* Proximity to timber processing facilities.

In the UK, timber is the third most important product group as an input to construction, after concrete products and plastic goods. Materials used in construction have widely varying amounts of greenhouse gases associated with their extraction, refining, manufacture, or processing and delivery. In its 2006 report on the carbon benefits of timber in construction<sup>16</sup>, Forestry Commission Scotland highlighted: “the production of cement and steel alone account for over 10% of global annual greenhouse gases emissions. As new buildings become more energy efficient, the emissions associated with materials make up a larger proportion of their total climate change impact. Planners, developers, architects and builders are becoming more aware of the climate change impacts of construction materials and are increasingly including climate change considerations in their selection for buildings projects.”

**Map 46 – Need for timber production**



*MAPPING TECHNIQUE:* Map 46 shows needs for timber production by showing areas within five kilometres of a timber processing facility.

#### FINDINGS

Most urban parishes in Telford are located near a timber processing facility.

### Need for pollutant removal from soil/water

*INDICATOR:* Downstream of high quality agricultural land; surface and ground water quality

Due to lack of available data on soils contamination, the analysis focuses on water quality.

<sup>16</sup> Greenhouse greenhouse gas emission comparisons: Carbon benefits of timber in construction. Forestry Commission Scotland, 2006.  
[http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/Carbonbenefitsoftimberinconstruction.pdf/\\$FILE/Carbonbenefitsoftimberinconstruction.pdf](http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/Carbonbenefitsoftimberinconstruction.pdf/$FILE/Carbonbenefitsoftimberinconstruction.pdf)



Water quality is fundamental to a good quality of life for both people and wildlife. Surface and ground waters are major sources of drinking water, and rivers support a wide variety of wildlife and recreational activities. One of the key legislation driving water quality monitoring and improvements is the Water Framework Directive (WFD). The WFD looks at the water environment as a whole, integrating water quality, quantity and physical habitat with ecological indicators. Under WFD the status of surface water bodies (rivers, surface water transfers, canals, transitional waters, coastal waters, lakes and SSSI ditches) is classified into:

- One of five 'Ecological status' classifications (High; Good; Moderate; Poor; Bad).
- One of two 'Chemical status' classifications (Good; Fail). Chemical status is assessed for specific chemicals, based on context.


Ground water bodies are classified into:

- 'Good Chemical status' or 'Poor Chemical status' against a large range of pollution pressures.

All water bodies are required to meet 'Good status' by 2015, which defines a water body as only being a little way from being in its totally natural state. To achieve 'good status' overall, a water body must achieve both 'good ecological' and 'good chemical' status.

*MAPPING TECHNIQUE:* Map 47 identifies needs for removal of pollutants from water and/or soil by highlighting river corridors located downstream of Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (there is no Grade 1 in Telford and Wrekin). Map 47 also shows the ecological status of surface water as well as the chemical status of ground water, based on Environment Agency Water Framework Directive data for 2012.

**Map 47 – Need for pollutant removal from water/soil**



#### *FINDINGS*

Ground water throughout the northern half of the borough is of poor chemical status. As of 2012, very few rivers meet the quality standard that will be required for all rivers in 2015. Of particular concern (ie. poor quality ecological status) are the River Roden in Ercall Magna, the River Strine in Waters Upton, Kynnersley and Tibberton and Cherrington, the Strine Brook in Edgmond, Church Aston and Newport. The only surface water body

with poor ecological status is the Lydebrook Dingle in Little Wenlock and The Gorge.



## 4. Appendices

### 4.1. Parish profiles

[See separate Appendix Report 1]

### 4.2. Full page maps

[See separate Appendix Report 2]

### 4.3. Suggested green infrastructure interventions

[See separate Appendix Report 3]

### 4.4. Data confidence appraisal

[See separate Appendix Report 4]

# Telford & Wrekin Council

## Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study

### **APPENDIX 1 – Parish profiles**

June 2013

Updated April 2016




Telford & Wrekin  
COUNCIL

## Content


How to read the parish profiles .....	3
Chetwynd Aston and Woodcote .....	5
Chetwynd .....	8
Church Aston .....	10
Dawley Hamlets .....	13
Donnington and Muxton .....	16
Edgmond .....	19
Ercall Magna .....	22
Eyton upon the Weald Moors .....	25
Great Dawley .....	28
Hadley and Leegomery .....	31
Hollinswood and Randlay .....	34
Ketley .....	37
Kynnersley .....	40
Lawley and Overdale .....	43
Lilleshall .....	46
Little Wenlock .....	49
Madeley .....	52
Newport .....	55
Oakengates .....	58
Preston upon the Weald Moors .....	61
Rodington .....	64
St Georges and Priorslee .....	67
Stirchley and Brookside .....	70
The Gorge .....	73
Tibberton and Cherrington .....	76
Waters Upton .....	79
Wellington .....	82
Wrockwardine .....	85
Wrockwardine Wood and Trench .....	88

# HOW TO READ THE PARISH PROFILES

Page 1:



Page 2:



The rest of this sheet considers other dimensions of need that green infrastructure can help to address, such as: other health and well-being needs (2), wildlife needs (3), spatial quality needs (4), climate change and environmental quality needs (5)

This information was determined by comparing need for the function (as mapped by this study) with performance of the function (as mapped by the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Evidence & Analysis Framework*)

**Red** indicates that provision is deficient, i.e. insufficient to meet the identified need

**Yellow** indicates that provision is satisfactory, i.e. sufficient to meet the identified need

**Green** indicates that provision exceeds need

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK														
	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces				Parks and other recreational				Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, roughland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	31.42	2.03	0.99	58.97	1.75	17.56	0.20	240.25	4.44	3.17	7.55	6.67	5.12	1.79
Area outside Green Network (ha)	108.99	0.00	0.00	13.62	0.37	0.88	0.10	12.27	1.50	0.00	68.17	7.74	6.13	0.37
Functions performed														
	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	381.91	19.45	240.25	1.79	250.86	328.24	5.95	381.91	268.94	34.43	259.24	284.57	60.15	309.64
Area outside Green Network (ha)	220.09	1.24	12.27	0.37	13.39	29.48	0.37	220.09	21.10	108.93	99.35	62.20	48.28	110.80
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from surface water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	226.14	186.36	0.00	7.55	283.00	14.39	259.50	240.25	250.86	17.56	0.00	241.49	250.86
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	8.22	4.51	0.00	68.17	23.75	62.92	23.85	12.27	13.39	0.88	0.00	12.36	13.39

THE GORGE

Parish name

To find out how much of each type of green infrastructure is within the *Telford & Wrekin Local Plan* Green Network, compared with elsewhere, refer to the top table

To find out how much green infrastructure performs each function within the Green Network, compared with elsewhere, refer to the bottom table

All of this information comes from the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Evidence & Analysis Framework*




## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	1111.91	0.00	0.23	7.26	7.90	1.45	0.00	83.89	21.78	0.00	21.68	14.31	2.56	0.00	1272.97	1305.20
% of Parish GI	87.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	6.6%	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	85.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%	6.4%	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%	97.5%	100.0%


### Distribution across green infrastructure types



### Green infrastructure types map



### Green infrastructure functions map



### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses		Private gardens	Cemeteries

### Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

5.3

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	66.96	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.00	30.17	0.00	0.41

# CHETWYND ASTON AND WOODCOTE

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate ? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			No provision. Closest facilities are in Newport, within reach by car. Enhancement of green travel routes might provide enhanced accessibility for cyclists / pedestrians.	Green travel routes		Some limited needs are likely to appear for better connection with Newport
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				No provision.	Mental illness	?
Outdoor sports facilities			Golf course.	Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature			Existing site (mediocre quality) on the periphery of Newport. As above, would benefit enhanced accessibility.	Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need <span></span> ? Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		Areas of open countryside help keep Newport and Telford as two clearly distinct settlements.
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

# CHETWYND ASTON AND WOODCOTE

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1111.91	0.00	0.23	7.26	7.90	1.45	0.00	83.89	21.78	0.00	21.68	14.31	2.56	0.00

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1272.97	9.35	83.89	0.00	85.78	101.24	0.23	1272.97	113.04	1112.14	741.46	23.44	821.65	124.31
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	10.67	0.00	21.91	47.32	603.52	109.07	83.89	85.78	1.45	0.00	84.12	85.78

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	590.87	0.00	0.00	11.43	1.02	2.85	0.40	39.39	12.81	0.00	34.36	8.32	3.41	0.54	705.39	727.60
% of Parish GI	83.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	5.6%	1.8%	0.0%	4.9%	1.2%	0.5%	0.1%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	81.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	5.4%	1.8%	0.0%	4.7%	1.1%	0.5%	0.1%	96.9%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

**5.0**

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.64



RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens				Green travel routes		
Amenity green space			Excellent park and gardens as well as natural green space provisions compensate for this.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people			No facilities. Nearest site in Newport or Edgmond.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities			No facilities. Nearest site in Newport.	Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature			Puleston Common scored less than 25% of the recommended quality score.	Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments			No facilities. Nearest site in Newport.	Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color:red">■</span> Deficient <span style="color:yellow">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color:green">■</span> Exceeds need <span style="color:grey">■</span> Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments
Separation between built-up areas		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1574.82	0.00	0.12	38.88	16.22	9.24	7.53	156.89	0.02	66.45	30.57	19.29	1.72	0.76

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1922.51	25.51	164.04	0.76	198.45	244.65	67.33	1922.51	202.45	1574.93	559.23	136.23	1167.09	150.10
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	31.43	0.00	30.69	158.06	364.75	209.63	156.89	198.45	9.24	0.00	157.43	198.45

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	46.00	0.55	0.00	46.38	8.72	0.34	3.02	87.60	45.28	0.00	78.82	23.65	8.21	0.48	349.04	435.82
% of Parish GI	13.2%	0.2%	0.0%	13.3%	2.5%	0.1%	0.9%	25.1%	13.0%	0.0%	22.6%	6.8%	2.4%	0.1%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	10.6%	0.1%	0.0%	10.6%	2.0%	0.1%	0.7%	20.1%	10.4%	0.0%	18.1%	5.4%	1.9%	0.1%	80.1%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

### Number of functions:



### AREA WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF FUNCTIONS PER SITE

5.1

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	5.33	0.00	0.36	4.13	111.38	0.62	0.18

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Most residents within walking distance of Church Aston Playing Field, an amenity site with play area and field goal. The play area is in good conditions, but the rest of the site scores less than 75% of recommended quality standards.	Green travel routes		Needs for good connections of the built-up areas to Newport
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children			Most residents also within walking distance of St Andrew’s Churchyard and Cemetery (see Quality of burial space).	Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature			Sites in Newport are not within walking distance but very close.	Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
				Quality of burial space★ <sup>1</sup>		Qualitative improvements opportunities for passive use and contact with wildlife
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need						

★<sup>1</sup> ■ Deficient ■ Satisfactory ■ Exceeds need

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments
Separation between built-up areas		Areas of open countryside help keep Newport and Telford as two clearly distinct settlements.
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

★<sup>2</sup> ■ Deficient ■ Satisfactory ■ Exceeds need ■ Not mapped



## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.16	0.01	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	590.87	0.00	0.00	11.43	1.02	2.85	0.40	39.39	12.81	0.00	34.35	8.16	3.40	0.54

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	705.21	3.87	39.75	0.54	40.43	55.07	0.54	705.21	51.19	590.87	357.09	13.10	566.76	0.54
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.05	0.34	0.00	34.35	28.28	330.45	48.07	39.39	40.43	2.85	0.00	39.47	40.43

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	46.00	0.55	0.00	46.38	8.72	0.34	3.02	87.60	45.28	0.00	78.82	23.65	8.21	0.48	349.04	435.82
% of Parish GI	13.2%	0.2%	0.0%	13.3%	2.5%	0.1%	0.9%	25.1%	13.0%	0.0%	22.6%	6.8%	2.4%	0.1%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	10.6%	0.1%	0.0%	10.6%	2.0%	0.1%	0.7%	20.1%	10.4%	0.0%	18.1%	5.4%	1.9%	0.1%	80.1%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

5.5

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	5.33	0.00	0.36	4.13	111.38	0.62	0.18

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			There's no park or garden in the parish. All residents however are within walking distance of an amenity site. 6 out of 8 of these site scored less than 25% of the recommended quality standards. No facilities within walking distance.	Green travel routes		Important needs expected to grow
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children			Most residential areas within recommended walking distance of a facility. Some play space (e.g. Little Dawley) scored very poorly.	Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature			Very extensive provision but all scored less than 50% of the recommended quality standard.	Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need <span></span> ? Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming		
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	9.72	0.54	0.00	28.19	6.91	0.12	3.02	73.77	33.19	0.00	0.79	12.01	2.53	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	36.28	0.01	0.00	18.19	1.81	0.22	0.00	13.83	12.10	0.00	78.03	11.65	5.68	0.48

Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	170.78	7.38	73.77	0.00	82.84	120.12	0.00	170.78	132.93	10.26	83.81	63.01	2.81	8.18
Area outside Green Network (ha)	178.27	2.02	13.83	0.48	16.50	35.58	0.48	178.27	42.25	36.29	30.31	18.72	3.48	10.95
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	1.71	60.17	0.00	0.79	143.99	34.75	86.53	73.77	82.84	0.12	0.00	73.77	82.84
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.40	9.46	0.00	78.03	47.33	21.36	24.11	13.83	16.50	0.22	0.00	13.83	16.50




## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total parish area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (ha)	380.50	0.00	0.00	130.52	4.57	0.86	3.58	109.49	96.42	9.59	151.14	37.32	63.70	4.68	992.38	1216.73
% of parish GI	38.3%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	0.5%	0.1%	0.4%	11.0%	9.7%	1.0%	15.2%	3.8%	6.4%	0.5%	100.0%	
% of parish area	31.3%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%	9.0%	7.9%	0.8%	12.4%	3.1%	5.2%	0.4%	81.6%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:



### Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.4

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Area for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi-Natural Green Space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (ha)	0.00	6.20	0.31	1.02	20.14	182.03	0.00	7.73

# DONNINGTON AND MUXTON

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Parts of Muxton do not have access to either parks and gardens or amenity sites. For these residents, closest site is Muxton Marsh (SSSI in unfavourable conditions – ie. ill-suited to compensate for lack of recreational space).	Green travel routes		Significant current and future need
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	Obesity levels amongst adults five percentage points above national average.
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature			Opportunities for qualitative improvements.	Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	
Designated habitat for wildlife		Includes a SSSI in unfavourable conditions: Muxton Marsh.	
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements		Need for enhanced landscape permeability between Wrockwardine Woods and Donnington Woods.	
SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Areas of open countryside help keep Newport and Telford as two clearly distinct settlements.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town entrance. Retail environment along Wrekin Drive.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE			
Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS	
		WATER INTERCEPTION	
		WATER INFILTRATION	
		WATER STORAGE	
Water conveyance			
Availability of water for irrigation during drought			
Wind shelter			
Carbon storage			
Food production			
Ground stabilisation			
Biofuel			
Timber production			
Removal of pollutants from water/soil			
★ <sup>2</sup> <span>Deficient</span> <span>Satisfactory</span> <span>Exceeds need</span> <span>Not mapped</span>			

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>	
Separation between built-up areas		Areas of open countryside help keep Newport and Telford as two clearly distinct settlements.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town entrance. Retail environment along Wrekin Drive.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	22.16	0.00	0.00	54.99	1.15	0.26	3.58	87.72	6.16	9.56	0.36	17.42	16.17	0.73
Area outside Green Network (ha)	358.34	0.00	0.00	75.53	3.42	0.60	0.00	21.77	90.25	0.03	150.78	19.90	47.54	3.95

Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	220.28	1.41	87.72	0.73	100.66	163.34	10.29	220.28	146.18	22.16	41.28	70.43	43.59	3.98
Area outside Green Network (ha)	772.10	4.02	21.77	3.95	28.10	102.56	3.97	772.10	182.40	358.34	103.11	138.06	316.75	36.49
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	2.45	58.06	0.00	0.36	166.96	6.16	100.66	87.72	100.66	0.26	0.00	87.72	100.66
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	2.10	7.16	0.00	150.78	192.83	156.14	28.10	21.77	28.10	0.60	0.00	21.98	28.10

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	1447.57	0.00	0.00	33.09	6.54	5.32	2.28	72.57	4.14	1.03	49.17	10.17	8.75	0.82	1641.45	1695.43
% of Parish GI	88.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	4.4%	0.3%	0.1%	3.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.1%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	85.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	4.3%	0.2%	0.1%	2.9%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	96.8%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

5.2

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	1.32	0.13	0.70	5.73	0.63	0.00	1.47

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens				Green travel routes		Limited needs – concentrated in village centre and University College Campus
Amenity green space			Most residents are within walking distance of the two amenity sites present in the parish. Those facilities have scored less than 50% of the recommended quality standard.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature			Nearest site for most resident is Canalside in Newport.	Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space★ <sup>1</sup>		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color:red">■</span> Deficient <span style="color:yellow">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color:green">■</span> Exceeds need <span style="color:gray">■</span> Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		



## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1447.01	0.00	0.00	33.04	6.54	5.31	2.28	72.57	4.14	1.03	49.17	10.17	8.75	0.82

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.61	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.61	0.04	0.56	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1640.84	11.85	268.93	0.82	75.03	121.96	1.85	1640.84	107.96	1447.01	971.16	32.07	1104.98	153.14
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	88.45	1.81	0.00	49.17	70.04	605.99	86.43	72.57	75.03	5.31	0.00	72.62	75.03

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	3356.57	0.00	0.00	37.97	13.29	9.99	0.24	110.48	2.31	0.00	59.34	19.58	23.97	1.15	3634.87	3739.22
% of Parish GI	92.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	3.0%	0.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	89.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	3.0%	0.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	97.2%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses		Private gardens	Cemeteries

### Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.5

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	5.31	0.23	0.24	2.93	28.77	0.00	1.19

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Qualitative improvements to the amenity sites can provide an effective approach to the deficiency in parks and gardens.	Green travel routes		
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space★ <sup>1</sup>		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> Deficient Satisfactory Exceeds need ? Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	3356.57	0.00	0.00	37.97	13.29	9.99	0.24	110.48	2.31	0.00	59.34	19.58	23.97	1.15

Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	3634.87	23.28	117.11	1.15	114.53	174.79	1.15	3634.87	148.78	3356.57	1539.58	61.65	1899.90	255.29
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	1.27	25.04	0.00	59.34	73.25	1026.81	114.53	110.48	114.53	9.99	0.00	110.69	114.53

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	497.87	0.00	0.00	6.18	3.71	3.49	0.55	22.23	0.00	0.00	5.25	2.42	0.03	0.11	541.84	554.01
% of Parish GI	91.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.7%	0.6%	0.1%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	89.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.7%	0.6%	0.1%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	97.8%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map




© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

### Number of functions:



### AREA WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF FUNCTIONS PER SITE

3.4

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.



# EYTON UPON THE WEALD MOORS

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			There are no facilities in the parish. Facilities in neighbouring parishes are not within the recommended accessibility standards.	Green travel routes		
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	Very high CHD admissions per unit of adult population aged 40+.
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		

SPATIAL QUALITY					
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)			
Separation between built-up areas					
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS			
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS			
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?				
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets					
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color: red;">■</span> Deficient <span style="color: yellow;">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color: green;">■</span> Exceeds need ? Not mapped		

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	1.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	497.87	0.00	0.00	6.18	3.58	3.49	0.55	20.63	0.00	0.00	5.25	2.22	0.03	0.11

Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	1.94	0.13	1.60	0.00	1.60	1.74	0.00	1.94	1.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	539.90	7.07	20.63	0.11	20.90	34.43	0.11	539.90	27.36	497.87	0.00	13.44	20.61	8.43
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	1.60	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	1.60	1.60	1.60	0.00	0.00	1.60	1.60
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	1.40	0.00	5.25	9.62	0.00	20.90	20.63	20.90	3.49	0.00	20.63	20.90

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	15.47	0.00	0.00	70.86	4.08	0.05	0.01	67.32	20.49	10.32	96.64	33.65	17.74	2.03	338.69	460.57
% of Parish GI	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	20.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	19.9%	6.0%	3.0%	28.5%	9.9%	5.2%	0.6%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%	4.4%	2.2%	21.0%	7.3%	3.9%	0.4%	73.5%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

5.2

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	2.82	9.66	0.33	2.99	27.65	170.16	0.00	2.31

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate? ★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens				Green travel routes		Important current needs.
Amenity green space			All residents live within walking distance of an amenity green space. Most of these sites scored less than 50% of the recommended quality standard.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	Obesity level amongst adults is seven percentage points over the national average.
Provision for young people			All residential areas of the parish are not within the recommended walking distance of existing facilities.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span style="color:red">■</span> Deficient <span style="color:yellow">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color:green">■</span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY				ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE			
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments		Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Designated habitat for wildlife				Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS	
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements						WATER INTERCEPTION	
				Water conveyance		WATER INFILTRATION	
				Availability of water for irrigation during drought		WATER STORAGE	
				Wind shelter			
				Carbon storage			
				Food production			
				Ground stabilisation			
				Biofuel			
				Timber production			
				Removal of pollutants from water/soil			
				★² <span>Deficient</span> <span>Satisfactory</span> <span>Exceeds need</span> <span>Not mapped</span>			

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town centre and Town Park. Dawley High St retail environment.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town centre and Town Park. Dawley High St retail environment.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	14.33	0.00	0.00	59.49	3.46	0.05	0.00	64.35	14.62	9.38	0.88	12.78	6.26	2.02
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1.14	0.00	0.00	11.38	0.62	0.01	0.01	2.98	5.87	0.94	95.75	20.87	11.49	0.02

## Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	187.60	3.51	64.35	2.02	103.31	149.24	11.39	187.60	121.98	14.33	109.07	59.86	30.43	13.15
Area outside Green Network (ha)	151.08	0.64	2.98	0.02	4.13	23.24	0.96	151.08	14.37	1.14	13.17	14.84	7.59	0.13
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	76.11	51.19	0.00	0.88	161.77	24.34	109.72	64.35	103.31	0.05	0.00	64.53	103.31
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	13.69	0.68	0.00	95.75	41.10	5.87	4.45	2.98	4.13	0.01	0.00	2.98	4.13




## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	235.75	1.83	0.00	74.48	4.88	3.37	0.53	67.44	10.96	5.52	137.00	58.93	98.28	1.82	695.82	935.79
% of Parish GI	33.9%	0.3%	0.0%	10.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.1%	9.7%	1.6%	0.8%	19.7%	8.5%	14.1%	0.3%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	25.2%	0.2%	0.0%	8.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.1%	7.2%	1.2%	0.6%	14.6%	6.3%	10.5%	0.2%	74.4%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries
Orchard	Water bodies	Private gardens		
	Water courses			

### Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

3.6

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	32.86	0.81	1.37	19.09	67.29	1.83	2.34

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Residents on the south side of Leegomery are not within the recommended walking distance to parks and gardens. However, all residents in the parish have good access to amenity sites. Qualitative improvements are needed.	Green travel routes		Important current needs.
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing		SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space★ <sup>1</sup>		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need <span></span> ? Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming		
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.84	1.83	0.00	17.47	4.58	2.56	0.00	55.76	10.80	0.52	1.32	37.17	21.52	1.34
Area outside Green Network (ha)	234.90	0.00	0.00	57.01	0.30	0.81	0.53	11.68	0.17	0.03	135.68	21.76	76.76	0.48

## Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	155.71	7.14	55.76	1.34	66.01	89.78	1.86	155.71	73.23	2.68	19.20	35.47	5.18	2.38
Area outside Green Network (ha)	540.11	1.11	11.68	0.48	13.12	70.95	1.59	540.11	69.12	234.90	158.38	47.62	123.94	0.72
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	9.78	29.66	0.00	1.32	114.54	12.87	66.01	55.76	66.01	2.56	0.00	55.76	66.01
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	38.52	3.75	0.00	135.68	87.10	105.02	13.12	11.68	13.12	0.81	0.00	11.93	13.12


# HOLLINSWOOD AND RANDLAY

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	41.21	0.94	0.00	29.43	5.25	0.13	0.33	80.72	2.63	0.02	37.72	45.62	36.75	0.00	280.74	456.49
% of Parish GI	14.7%	0.3%	0.0%	10.5%	1.9%	0.0%	0.1%	28.8%	0.9%	0.0%	13.4%	16.3%	13.1%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	9.0%	0.2%	0.0%	6.4%	1.2%	0.0%	0.1%	17.7%	0.6%	0.0%	8.3%	10.0%	8.1%	0.0%	61.5%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

### Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.0

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.24	15.30	0.27	0.50	6.64	86.88	0.94	0.00

# HOLLINSWOOD AND RANDLAY

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			All residential areas have good access to both amenity sites and parks and gardens. A majority of the amenity green space stock scored less than 25% of the recommended quality standard.	Green travel routes		Important current need. Expected to increase.
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	Obesity level amongst adults is seven percentage points over the national average.
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need <span></span> ? Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town centre retail environment. Telford Town Park.
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	NOISE ATTENUATION	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	



## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	4.73	0.94	0.00	29.08	5.18	0.13	0.29	72.93	2.63	0.00	0.01	27.33	6.41	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	36.48	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.08	0.00	0.04	7.79	0.00	0.00	37.71	18.29	30.34	0.00

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	149.67	5.43	72.93	0.00	78.11	121.75	0.00	149.67	100.79	5.67	35.63	52.93	15.42	7.81
Area outside Green Network (ha)	131.07	0.08	7.79	0.00	8.08	11.93	0.00	131.07	8.14	36.48	0.19	5.18	1.94	0.00
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	19.56	47.05	0.00	0.01	118.43	3.57	78.11	72.93	78.11	0.13	0.00	72.93	78.11
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.62	7.14	0.00	37.71	19.24	0.00	8.08	7.79	8.08	0.00	0.00	7.79	8.08

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	0.02	0.00	0.00	58.23	2.39	0.16	0.27	29.89	4.39	15.35	47.42	15.07	3.21	1.42	164.01	233.50
% of Parish GI	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.5%	1.5%	0.1%	0.2%	18.2%	2.7%	9.4%	28.9%	9.2%	2.0%	0.9%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.9%	1.0%	0.1%	0.1%	12.8%	1.9%	6.6%	20.3%	6.5%	1.4%	0.6%	70.2%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

### Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.5

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	2.79	0.11	0.08	6.60	41.14	0.00	1.58

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			All residential areas have good access to both amenity sites and parks and gardens. A majority of the amenity green space stock scored less than 25% of the recommended quality standard.	Green travel routes		Important current needs.
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	Obesity level amongst adults is seven percentage points over the national average.
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	Very high CHD admissions per unit of adult population aged 40+.
Provision for children			Limited quantity and accessibility of provision is all the more problematic that the parish has a high proportion of children	Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color:red">■</span> Deficient <span style="color:yellow">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color:green">■</span> Exceeds need <span style="color:gray">■</span> Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		<div>AESTHETIC POTENTIAL</div> <div>CULTURAL ASSETS</div> <div>Telford town entrance (M54).</div>
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.02	0.00	0.00	28.08	1.61	0.16	0.27	28.17	4.26	1.52	0.80	12.04	0.97	0.69
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.15	0.77	0.00	0.00	1.72	0.14	0.02	46.62	3.02	2.24	0.73

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	78.59	1.77	28.17	0.69	33.94	63.10	2.21	78.59	57.31	0.02	39.72	36.96	12.99	0.69
Area outside Green Network (ha)	85.42	0.77	1.72	0.73	1.94	32.79	0.75	85.42	31.92	0.00	27.56	7.28	0.45	0.73
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.07	27.97	0.00	0.80	67.54	4.26	33.94	28.17	33.94	0.16	0.00	28.57	33.94
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.06	1.58	0.00	46.62	31.73	0.14	1.94	1.72	1.94	0.00	0.00	1.72	1.94

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	635.96	0.00	0.00	3.54	0.66	9.14	0.15	73.22	0.00	0.00	7.82	3.60	0.14	0.11	734.32	745.90
% of Parish GI	86.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	85.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	98.4%	100.0%

## Distribution across green infrastructure types




## Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

## Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

## Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

## Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.3

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14



RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			No facilities. Closest site with recreational use is in Preston upon the Wealds Moor (Preston moor – natural green space).	Green travel routes		
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS
					WATER INTERCEPTION
					WATER INFILTRATION
					WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
SPATIAL QUALITY					
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)			
Separation between built-up areas					
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL			
		CULTURAL ASSETS			
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION			
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS			
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?				
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets					
			★ <sup>2</sup> Deficient Satisfactory Exceeds need ? Not mapped		

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	635.96	0.00	0.00	3.54	0.66	9.14	0.15	73.22	0.00	0.00	7.82	3.60	0.14	0.11

Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	734.32	9.95	73.22	0.11	73.68	87.06	0.11	734.32	76.90	635.96	23.54	59.51	199.22	57.85
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.82	0.80	19.36	88.25	73.22	73.68	9.14	0.00	73.28	73.68

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	84.88	0.00	0.00	80.27	1.36	0.14	0.06	74.57	3.88	0.00	78.50	82.74	16.29	0.68	<b>423.37</b>	<b>571.66</b>
% of Parish GI	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	0.9%	0.0%	18.5%	19.5%	3.8%	0.2%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%	0.7%	0.0%	13.7%	14.5%	2.8%	0.1%	74.1%	100.0%

## Distribution across green infrastructure types




## Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

## Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

## Green infrastructure types:



## Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

**4.2**

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	12.00	0.04	0.33	6.05	72.16	0.00	1.22

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			No facility in the area.	Green travel routes		Significant current and future needs
Amenity green space			Good accessibility coverage. 5 out of 8 sites scored less than 25% of the recommended quality standards.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people			No facility.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children			Parish population has a high proportion of children <10. Most areas within accessible range of a play site. Site quality less than 50%or recommended standard for 2 out of 5 sites.	Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	
Designated habitat for wildlife			
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			
SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town entrance (M54 & A442). Telford town centre retail envt.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			
ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE			
Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS	
		WATER INTERCEPTION	
		WATER INFILTRATION	
		WATER STORAGE	
Water conveyance			
Availability of water for irrigation during drought			
Wind shelter			
Carbon storage			
Food production			
Ground stabilisation			
Biofuel			
Timber production			
Removal of pollutants from water/soil			
★ <sup>2</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need <span></span> ? Not mapped			

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town entrance (M54 & A442). Telford town centre retail envt.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	2.29	0.00	0.00	22.47	1.00	0.07	0.00	54.65	3.26	0.00	0.36	32.09	2.16	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	82.59	0.00	0.00	57.79	0.36	0.08	0.06	19.92	0.62	0.00	78.15	50.65	14.13	0.68

## Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	118.35	1.07	54.65	0.00	59.94	84.92	0.00	118.35	76.88	2.29	45.03	30.18	6.84	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	305.02	0.43	19.92	0.68	22.94	79.57	0.68	305.02	77.27	82.59	81.49	23.67	0.63	0.85
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.39	48.63	0.00	0.36	93.23	3.66	59.94	54.65	59.94	0.07	0.00	54.65	59.94
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	1.11	6.35	0.00	78.15	115.53	31.65	41.32	19.92	22.94	0.08	0.00	20.12	22.94




## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total parish area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (ha)	979.34	0.00	0.09	21.48	8.72	5.69	0.39	120.98	7.88	2.42	41.36	14.98	17.57	0.87	1221.77	1260.48
% of parish GI	80.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	9.9%	0.6%	0.2%	3.4%	1.2%	1.4%	0.1%	100.0%	
% of parish area	77.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	9.6%	0.6%	0.2%	3.3%	1.2%	1.4%	0.1%	96.9%	100.0%


Distribution across green infrastructure types



Green infrastructure types map



Green infrastructure functions map



Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

5.3

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Area for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi-Natural Green Space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	8.72	52.81	0.00	1.00

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Lilleshall residents do not have access to either parks and gardens or amenity sites. For these residents, closest site is Muxton Marsh (SSSI in unfavourable conditions – ie. ill suited to compensate for lack of recreational space).	Green travel routes		Obesity levels amongst adults five percentage points above national average.
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature			Opportunities for qualitative improvements.	Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS
					WATER INTERCEPTION
					WATER INFILTRATION
					WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need <span></span> ? Not mapped		
SPATIAL QUALITY					
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)			
Separation between built-up areas		Areas of open countryside help keep Newport and Telford as two clearly distinct settlements.			
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL			
		CULTURAL ASSETS			
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION			
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS			
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming					
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets					

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.06	5.39	0.00	0.01	1.60	0.06	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	979.24	0.00	0.09	21.47	8.72	5.45	0.39	120.93	2.48	2.42	41.35	13.38	17.51	0.87

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	7.46	0.24	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.30	0.00	7.46	0.23	0.10	0.10	6.02	0.10	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1214.31	14.29	145.09	0.87	125.97	160.05	3.38	1214.31	142.22	979.33	581.73	123.40	612.20	88.47
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	6.59	5.49	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.24	0.00	0.06	0.06
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	2.58	2.50	0.00	41.44	75.85	512.50	134.58	120.93	125.97	5.45	0.00	121.09	125.97

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	845.51	0.00	0.16	111.79	5.67	1.35	3.24	524.63	56.83	0.00	25.81	13.84	1.15	0.33	1590.31	1657.68
% of Parish GI	53.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	33.0%	3.6%	0.0%	1.6%	0.9%	0.1%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	51.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	31.6%	3.4%	0.0%	1.6%	0.8%	0.1%	0.0%	95.9%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:

AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

7.7

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.49	602.02	0.00	0.37

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			No facilities. However, large expanses of natural and semi-natural green space provide an appropriate alternative.	Green travel routes		Future needs may arise
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people			Lack of accessible facilities for young people will be reinforced with housing growth	Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities			Very extensive provision – all scored less than 25% of the recommended quality standard.	Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife		Includes a SSSI in unfavourable conditions: Lydebrook Dingle.	Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements		Need for enhanced landscape permeability between Lydebrook Dingle SSSI and the Severn Gorge.			WATER INTERCEPTION
					WATER INFILTRATION
					WATER STORAGE
			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
SPATIAL QUALITY					
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)			
Separation between built-up areas					
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS The Ercall and The Wrekin.			
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic					
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets					
			★ <sup>2</sup> Deficient Satisfactory Exceeds need ? Not mapped		



## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	845.48	0.00	0.16	111.79	5.67	1.35	3.24	524.61	56.83	0.00	25.81	13.84	1.15	0.33

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.02
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1590.25	7.01	524.61	0.33	550.06	734.27	0.49	1590.25	673.98	845.64	743.49	606.92	479.06	773.08
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	109.02	0.00	25.81	567.51	231.01	570.10	524.61	550.06	1.35	0.00	524.78	550.06

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	11.03	0.89	0.76	50.15	2.41	0.70	0.06	163.08	68.98	0.00	140.50	83.50	61.24	2.07	585.36	884.09
% of Parish GI	1.9%	0.2%	0.1%	8.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	27.9%	11.8%	0.0%	24.0%	14.3%	10.5%	0.4%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	1.2%	0.1%	0.1%	5.7%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	18.4%	7.8%	0.0%	15.9%	9.4%	6.9%	0.2%	66.2%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:

- Agricultural land
- Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland
- Wetland
- Outdoor Sports Facilities
- Incidental green space
- Allotments
- Water bodies
- Woodland
- Parks, gardens and recreational grounds
- Institutional grounds
- Orchard
- Water courses
- Private gardens
- Cemeteries

Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.7

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	13.82	0.47	2.01	43.82	199.75	0.89	2.18

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate? ★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			There are no parks and gardens. Most residents are within walking distance of poor quality amenity sites, 14 out of 15 of which score less than 25% of the recommended quality standards. Qualitative improvements would help address both recreation and other health and wellbeing needs.	Green travel routes		Important current and future needs
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	Obesity level amongst adults is 7 percentage points above national average.
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span style="color: red;">■</span> Deficient <span style="color: yellow;">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color: green;">■</span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY				ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE			
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>		Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>		Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Enhanced permeability between local wildlife sites along Queensway (A4169).	Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness			SURFACE ROUGHNESS
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements							WATER INTERCEPTION
							WATER INFILTRATION
							WATER STORAGE
				Water conveyance			
				Availability of water for irrigation during drought			
				Wind shelter			
				Carbon storage			
				Food production			
				Ground stabilisation			
				Biofuel			
				Timber production			
				Removal of pollutants from water/soil			
				★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color: red;">■</span> Deficient <span style="color: yellow;">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color: green;">■</span> Exceeds need <span style="color: grey;">■</span> Not mapped			

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>		Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas			
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy			Telford town entrances. Park St/Park Ave retail environment.
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic			NOISE ATTENUATION
			TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming		?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	9.71	0.78	0.76	21.46	2.26	0.69	0.04	153.76	65.82	0.00	0.64	44.98	13.57	1.73
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1.32	0.11	0.00	28.68	0.15	0.01	0.02	9.32	3.17	0.00	139.86	38.52	47.66	0.35

Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	316.20	2.95	153.76	1.73	162.74	200.83	2.48	316.20	221.93	11.25	102.37	159.47	34.42	108.38
Area outside Green Network (ha)	269.16	0.16	9.32	0.35	12.59	44.82	0.35	269.16	37.77	1.42	12.09	30.10	4.95	22.16
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	64.31	120.84	0.00	1.40	271.09	66.60	165.02	153.76	162.74	0.69	0.00	154.52	162.74
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	17.05	6.16	0.00	139.86	84.56	3.35	12.60	9.32	12.59	0.01	0.00	9.56	12.59

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	76.59	1.02	0.00	27.01	0.35	3.93	0.41	4.65	24.29	16.86	117.84	18.09	13.69	3.36	292.93	410.93
% of Parish GI	26.1%	0.3%	0.0%	9.2%	0.1%	1.3%	0.1%	1.6%	8.3%	5.8%	40.2%	6.2%	4.7%	1.1%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	18.6%	0.2%	0.0%	6.6%	0.1%	1.0%	0.1%	1.1%	5.9%	4.1%	28.7%	4.4%	3.3%	0.8%	71.3%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map




© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF FUNCTIONS PER SITE

2.9

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	1.47	2.61	0.20	1.35	27.12	22.05	0.97	3.07



RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate? ★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens				Green travel routes		Important current and future needs
Amenity green space			Residents on the east side of Newport are not within walking distance of existing amenity sites.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people			South and west of Newport not within walking distance of existing two sites.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING Concentration of vulnerable populations (older people, schools...)
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span style="color: red;">■</span> Deficient <span style="color: yellow;">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color: green;">■</span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY				ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE			
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)		Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Designated habitat for wildlife				Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS	
						WATER INTERCEPTION	
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements						WATER INFILTRATION	
						WATER STORAGE	
				Water conveyance			
				Availability of water for irrigation during drought			
				Wind shelter			
				Carbon storage			
				Food production			
				Ground stabilisation			
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.		Biofuel			
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Town centre. Town entrances.	Timber production			
		CULTURAL ASSETS					
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION		Removal of pollutants from water/soil			
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS					
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming				★² <span>Deficient</span> <span>Satisfactory</span> <span>Exceeds need</span> <span>Not mapped</span>			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets							

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Town centre. Town entrances.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK														
	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	13.92	1.01	0.00	10.47	0.30	2.92	0.41	1.39	10.90	1.65	0.75	6.06	0.50	2.05
Area outside Green Network (ha)	62.67	0.01	0.00	16.54	0.05	1.02	0.00	3.25	13.39	0.03	117.09	12.03	13.19	1.31
Functions performed														
	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	52.34	3.21	1.39	2.05	5.68	22.28	3.71	52.34	12.28	14.93	10.52	12.41	6.04	4.78
Area outside Green Network (ha)	240.59	1.07	3.25	1.31	5.19	21.83	1.34	240.59	24.71	62.68	33.56	14.70	36.33	11.84
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	5.72	3.14	0.00	0.75	35.70	11.91	11.71	1.39	5.68	2.92	0.00	2.19	5.68
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	6.75	1.13	0.00	117.09	39.03	23.43	10.49	3.25	5.19	1.02	0.00	4.10	5.19

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.79	9.91	0.14	0.00	55.06	20.87	29.05	93.21	39.57	18.03	1.61	261.11	368.80
% of Parish GI	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	3.8%	0.1%	0.0%	21.1%	8.0%	11.1%	35.7%	15.2%	6.9%	0.6%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	5.7%	7.9%	25.3%	10.7%	4.9%	0.4%	70.8%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.2

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.90	30.19	0.35	0.65	24.08	37.21	0.00	1.79

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate? ★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens				Green travel routes		Important current needs.
Amenity green space			Abundant provision, but poor quality. 12 out of the 19 amenity sites scored less than 25% of the recommended quality standard.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	Highest obesity level in the borough. Over 9 percentage points beyond the national average.
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING Concentration of vulnerable populations (older people, schools...)
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> ■ Deficient ■ Satisfactory ■ Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY				ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE			
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments		Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Designated habitat for wildlife				Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS	
						WATER INTERCEPTION	
						WATER INFILTRATION	
						WATER STORAGE	
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements				Water conveyance			
				Availability of water for irrigation during drought			
				Wind shelter			
				Carbon storage			
				Food production			
				Ground stabilisation			
				Biofuel			
				Timber production			
				Removal of pollutants from water/soil			
				★² <span>Deficient</span> <span>Satisfactory</span> <span>Exceeds need</span> <span>?</span> Not mapped			

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★²	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Retail envt around Market & Bridge St, the Mall and the train station
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Retail envt around Market & Bridge St, the Mall and the train station
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.53	9.88	0.14	0.00	53.63	17.70	2.90	0.91	29.84	2.35	1.56
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.26	0.03	0.01	0.00	1.43	3.16	0.01	92.30	9.74	15.68	0.06

## Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	133.43	10.02	53.63	1.56	60.51	105.43	4.45	133.43	68.88	0.00	30.60	40.06	17.01	1.64
Area outside Green Network (ha)	127.67	0.04	1.43	0.06	1.85	8.50	0.06	127.67	7.45	0.00	3.07	11.35	0.38	0.06
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	3.94	54.79	0.00	0.91	117.61	17.70	60.51	53.63	60.51	0.14	0.00	54.53	60.51
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	2.38	1.68	0.00	92.30	17.09	3.16	1.85	1.43	1.85	0.01	0.00	1.47	1.85




# PRESTON UPON THE WEALD MOORS

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	363.90	0.00	0.00	3.59	2.06	2.53	0.00	6.84	0.00	0.00	6.96	1.91	2.08	0.11	<b>389.98</b>	<b>400.22</b>
% of Parish GI	93.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	90.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	97.4%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

### Number of functions:



**AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE**

**4.1**

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.57	2.79	0.00	0.13

# PRESTON UPON THE WEALD MOORS

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			No facility in the parish. The facilities in neighbouring parishes are not within walking distance.	Green travel routes		Some future needs expected to arise
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	Very high CHD admissions per unit of adult population aged 40+.
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		

SPATIAL QUALITY					
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments			
Separation between built-up areas					
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS			
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS			
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?				
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets					
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color: red;">■</span> Deficient <span style="color: yellow;">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color: green;">■</span> Exceeds need ? Not mapped		

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	363.90	0.00	0.00	3.59	2.06	2.53	0.00	6.84	0.00	0.00	6.96	1.91	2.08	0.11

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	389.98	4.58	6.84	0.11	8.97	15.21	0.11	389.98	10.43	363.90	88.38	6.46	252.35	0.11
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	3.61	0.00	0.00	6.96	4.68	33.79	26.32	6.84	8.97	2.53	0.00	6.84	8.97

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	1182.50	0.00	0.20	25.93	20.83	12.71	2.36	5.84	2.27	0.01	39.69	8.49	0.45	0.55	1301.82	1335.17
% of Parish GI	90.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	1.6%	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	88.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.6%	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	97.5%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map




© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

### Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.6

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.12	2.08	14.62	0.00	0.60

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens				Green travel routes		
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife		Includes a SSSI in unfavourable conditions: Allscott Settling Ponds.	Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> Deficient Satisfactory Exceeds need ? Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		



GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1182.50	0.00	0.20	25.93	20.83	12.71	2.36	5.84	2.27	0.00	39.69	8.49	0.45	0.55

Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1301.82	33.55	5.84	0.55	7.31	90.54	0.75	1301.82	34.33	1182.69	638.36	23.06	966.80	40.86
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.76	0.00	39.69	27.03	444.04	7.31	5.90	7.31	12.71	0.00	6.22	7.31

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	81.11	0.00	0.00	44.88	17.07	0.04	0.10	61.77	9.62	6.72	128.19	47.79	19.27	1.98	<b>412.49</b>	<b>530.04</b>
% of Parish GI	19.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	2.3%	1.6%	31.1%	11.6%	4.7%	0.5%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	11.7%	1.8%	1.3%	24.2%	9.0%	3.6%	0.4%	77.8%	100.0%


Distribution across green infrastructure types



Green infrastructure types map



Green infrastructure functions map



Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

**4.3**

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	16.37	0.00	0.72	11.22	104.35	0.00	2.11

# ST GEORGES AND PRIORSLEE

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens				Green travel routes		Important current needs.
Amenity green space			A majority of sited scored less than 25% of the recommended quality standard. No facility. South of the parish not within walking distance of existing facilities.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color:red">■</span> Deficient <span style="color:yellow">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color:green">■</span> Exceeds need <span style="color:gray">■</span> Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL
		CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming		
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.09	0.00	0.00	25.52	15.94	0.00	0.10	59.66	6.13	0.64	0.65	29.48	2.44	1.17
Area outside Green Network (ha)	81.02	0.00	0.00	19.36	1.13	0.04	0.00	2.11	3.49	0.03	127.53	18.30	16.83	0.81

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	141.84	16.04	59.66	1.17	69.73	105.41	1.81	141.84	81.16	0.09	34.25	35.64	14.71	10.89
Area outside Green Network (ha)	270.66	1.16	2.11	0.81	3.79	23.26	0.84	270.66	21.80	81.02	71.64	10.32	60.07	0.81
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	1.21	44.75	0.00	0.65	126.98	6.13	69.73	59.66	69.73	0.00	0.00	60.06	69.73
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	1.15	2.53	0.00	127.53	59.97	5.40	18.05	2.11	3.79	0.04	0.00	2.54	3.79

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	32.67	0.62	0.00	27.71	6.47	0.75	0.01	45.73	18.71	0.00	64.50	44.45	6.14	0.20	247.95	328.63
% of Parish GI	13.2%	0.3%	0.0%	11.2%	2.6%	0.3%	0.0%	18.4%	7.5%	0.0%	26.0%	17.9%	2.5%	0.1%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	9.9%	0.2%	0.0%	8.4%	2.0%	0.2%	0.0%	13.9%	5.7%	0.0%	19.6%	13.5%	1.9%	0.1%	75.4%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.6

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	19.87	0.90	1.12	22.52	60.24	0.62	0.23



RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Qualitative improvements to abundant poor quality amenity sites can provide a suitable approach to alleviate deficiency in parks and gardens. Greater attractiveness of recreational provision highly desirable in light of health conditions (see right column)	Green travel routes		Important current and future needs
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	Obesity level amongst adults is seven percentage points above the national average.
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS
					WATER INTERCEPTION
					WATER INFILTRATION
					WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
★ <sup>2</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need <span></span> ? Not mapped					

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town centre retail environment. Telford Town Park.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?		
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.08	0.62	0.00	26.89	6.46	0.59	0.01	44.70	18.66	0.00	0.34	29.67	2.24	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	32.59	0.00	0.00	0.82	0.01	0.16	0.00	1.02	0.05	0.00	64.16	14.77	3.90	0.20

Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	130.27	7.06	44.70	0.00	46.41	105.78	0.00	130.27	71.63	1.27	43.64	47.34	28.55	0.26
Area outside Green Network (ha)	117.68	0.16	1.02	0.20	1.14	2.40	0.20	117.68	1.84	32.59	13.63	3.46	14.84	0.64
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	50.40	24.50	0.00	0.34	114.62	19.28	46.41	44.70	46.41	0.59	0.00	44.70	46.41
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	2.84	0.13	0.00	64.16	15.23	13.21	4.11	1.02	1.14	0.16	0.00	1.02	1.14

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	140.35	2.03	0.99	72.59	2.12	18.44	0.30	252.52	5.94	31.72	75.72	14.41	11.26	2.16	602.00	671.24
% of Parish GI	23.3%	0.3%	0.2%	12.1%	0.4%	3.1%	0.0%	41.9%	1.0%	5.3%	12.6%	2.4%	1.9%	0.4%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	20.9%	0.3%	0.1%	10.8%	0.3%	2.7%	0.0%	37.6%	0.9%	4.7%	11.3%	2.1%	1.7%	0.3%	89.7%	100.0%

### Distribution across green infrastructure types




### Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

### Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

8.9

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	4.57	0.91	0.00	0.32	4.09	319.41	2.03	2.57

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments <small>(IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)</small>
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			A majority of the population do not live within recommended accessibility standards of the existing park.	Green travel routes		Important current and future needs
Amenity green space			As above: most residents not within walking distance of existing facility.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people			No facility.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children			Limited accessibility.	Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife		Includes a SSSI in unfavourable conditions: Lincoln Hill	Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color: red;">■</span> Deficient <span style="color: yellow;">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color: green;">■</span> Exceeds need <span style="color: grey;">■</span> Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS WHS, conservation area and associated retail environment.
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming		
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	31.42	2.03	0.99	58.97	1.75	17.56	0.20	240.25	4.44	3.17	7.55	6.67	5.12	1.79
Area outside Green Network (ha)	108.93	0.00	0.00	13.62	0.37	0.88	0.10	12.27	1.50	0.00	68.17	7.74	6.13	0.37

## Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	381.91	19.45	240.25	1.79	250.86	328.24	5.95	381.91	268.94	34.43	259.24	284.57	60.15	309.64
Area outside Green Network (ha)	220.09	1.24	12.27	0.37	13.39	29.49	0.37	220.09	21.10	108.93	99.35	62.20	48.28	110.80
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	226.14	186.36	0.00	7.55	283.00	14.39	259.50	240.25	250.86	17.56	0.00	241.49	250.86
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	8.22	4.51	0.00	68.17	23.76	62.92	23.85	12.27	13.39	0.88	0.00	12.36	13.39




## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	912.20	0.00	0.19	21.00	8.41	5.04	0.23	28.10	2.51	0.00	30.11	8.76	1.82	0.39	1018.77	1042.16
% of Parish GI	89.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	2.8%	0.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	87.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	2.7%	0.2%	0.0%	2.9%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	97.8%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map




© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:



Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.4

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	1.60	0.00	0.06	2.51	1.17	0.00	0.49

# TIBBERTON AND CHERRINGTON

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Existing two amenity sites provide good coverage for Tibberton village resident. These sites scored less than 50% of the recommended quality standard.	Green travel routes		
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> Deficient Satisfactory Exceeds need ? Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments
Separation between built-up areas		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	912.20	0.00	0.19	21.00	8.41	5.04	0.23	28.10	2.51	0.00	30.11	8.76	1.82	0.39

Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1018.77	13.45	28.10	0.39	33.10	65.65	0.58	1018.77	49.52	912.39	317.77	40.24	678.23	19.12
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	1.92	0.00	30.11	17.21	135.51	36.91	28.10	33.10	5.04	0.00	28.68	33.10

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	1629.03	0.00	0.00	21.24	5.09	12.98	0.29	32.81	0.00	0.00	57.68	15.47	2.97	0.53	1778.08	1827.69
% of Parish GI	91.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.9%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	89.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	97.3%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE

4.4

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.58

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is <b>quantity</b> appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond <b>quantity</b> : quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs <b>green infrastructure</b> can help address	Is level of provision appropriate?★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			No facility	Green travel routes		
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color:red">■</span> Deficient <span style="color:yellow">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color:green">■</span> Exceeds need <span style="color:gray">■</span> Not mapped		

SPATIAL QUALITY		
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Separation between built-up areas		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?	
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets		



## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1629.03	0.00	0.00	21.24	5.09	12.98	0.29	32.81	0.00	0.00	57.68	15.47	2.97	0.53

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1778.08	18.20	32.81	0.53	37.40	72.39	0.53	1778.08	53.92	1629.03	830.30	39.43	991.53	1.48
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.44	6.92	0.00	57.68	22.10	527.60	37.93	32.81	37.40	12.98	0.00	32.87	37.40

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	13.09	1.18	0.15	52.57	4.57	0.69	0.46	31.52	37.79	17.18	241.32	55.84	45.65	4.92	491.46	724.55
% of Parish GI	2.7%	0.2%	0.0%	10.7%	0.9%	0.1%	0.1%	6.4%	7.7%	3.5%	49.1%	11.4%	9.3%	1.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	1.8%	0.2%	0.0%	7.3%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	4.3%	5.2%	2.4%	33.3%	7.7%	6.3%	0.7%	67.8%	100.0%

Distribution across green infrastructure types




Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure functions map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

Green infrastructure types:

- Agricultural land
- Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland
- Wetland
- Outdoor Sports Facilities
- Incidental green space
- Allotments
- Water bodies
- Woodland
- Parks, gardens and recreational grounds
- Institutional grounds
- Orchard
- Water courses
- Private gardens
- Cemeteries

Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF FUNCTIONS PER SITE

3.0

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	19.25	0.17	1.01	44.92	84.86	1.18	5.97

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate? ★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Residents in the north of the parish (Shawburch) are not within recommended walking distance of the existing park.	Green travel routes		Important current needs.
Amenity green space			Multiple sites w/poor quality scores –including two in Shawburch with less than 50% of the recommended standard.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING SHADING Concentration of vulnerable populations (older people, schools...)
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing		
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> ■ Deficient ■ Satisfactory ■ Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	
Designated habitat for wildlife			
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			
SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL	Telford town entrance (M54). Wellington town centre, train station retail environment.
		CULTURAL ASSETS	
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION	
		TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			
ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE			
Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS	
		WATER INTERCEPTION	
		WATER INFILTRATION	
		WATER STORAGE	
Water conveyance			
Availability of water for irrigation during drought			
Wind shelter			
Carbon storage			
Food production			
Ground stabilisation			
Biofuel			
Timber production			
Removal of pollutants from water/soil			
★ <sup>2</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need <span></span> ? Not mapped			

SPATIAL QUALITY			
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)	
Separation between built-up areas		Opportunities for improved design/management of interstitial/transitional spaces between residential and industrial land uses.	
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS	Telford town entrance (M54). Wellington town centre, train station retail environment.
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming			
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.01	1.11	0.10	28.63	4.31	0.67	0.04	29.28	27.52	1.34	0.67	36.72	24.26	3.91
Area outside Green Network (ha)	13.08	0.07	0.05	23.94	0.26	0.03	0.42	2.23	10.27	0.37	240.65	19.11	21.38	1.01

## Functions performed


	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	158.58	4.97	29.28	3.91	39.38	80.64	7.23	158.58	58.97	1.22	32.37	56.33	12.75	6.73
Area outside Green Network (ha)	332.88	0.29	2.23	1.01	8.87	30.84	1.46	332.88	26.96	13.20	17.55	11.24	8.23	5.99
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	14.82	28.81	0.00	0.67	139.72	28.73	39.38	29.28	39.38	0.67	0.00	29.80	39.38
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	14.23	8.43	0.00	240.70	49.80	11.43	8.87	2.23	8.87	0.03	0.00	2.84	8.87

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis* document.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	1801.81	1.54	0.21	85.61	10.38	7.11	1.68	65.70	10.55	207.69	89.90	31.05	9.64	0.83	2136.80	2292.34
% of Parish GI	84.3%	0.1%	0.0%	4.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	3.1%	0.5%	9.7%	4.2%	1.5%	0.5%	0.0%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	78.6%	0.1%	0.0%	3.7%	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	2.9%	0.5%	9.1%	3.9%	1.4%	0.4%	0.0%	93.2%	100.0%

## Distribution across green infrastructure types




## Green infrastructure types map



© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

## Green infrastructure functions map




© Crown Copyright and Database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

## Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

## Number of functions:



AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTION PER  
SITE

4.7

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	4.75	0.16	0.17	8.85	21.36	1.06	0.74



RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens			Residents in Admaston are not within walking distance of the existing provision.	Green travel routes		Some limited needs today. Expected to increase in the future.
Amenity green space			Opportunities for qualitative improvements.	Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife		Includes a SSSI in unfavourable conditions: Allscott Settling Ponds.	Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements			Water conveyance		
			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
			Wind shelter		
			Carbon storage		
			Food production		
			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
SPATIAL QUALITY					
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)			
Separation between built-up areas					
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS			
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS			
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?				
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets					
			★ <sup>2</sup> Deficient Satisfactory Exceeds need ? Not mapped		

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	1.38	1.08	0.00	16.41	0.16	0.24	0.00	5.33	2.69	0.00	1.05	4.52	1.14	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	1800.43	0.46	0.21	69.20	10.22	6.88	1.68	60.37	7.85	20.77	88.86	26.54	8.50	0.83

Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	33.99	0.40	5.33	0.00	7.44	24.88	0.00	33.99	21.74	2.46	7.79	14.44	0.17	0.00
Area outside Green Network (ha)	2102.80	17.10	60.37	0.83	75.26	181.19	21.81	2102.80	132.96	1801.10	1127.93	73.11	1347.81	130.24
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	1.45	6.44	0.00	1.05	27.70	3.77	7.44	5.33	7.44	0.24	0.00	5.33	7.44
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.25	28.38	0.00	89.06	105.78	684.83	75.30	60.37	75.26	6.88	0.00	60.63	75.26


# WROCKWARDINE WOOD AND TRENCH

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION


The green infrastructure types used in the table and map below are identical to those defined in the 2012 *Green Infrastructure Framework Evidence & Analysis document*.

	Cultivated Land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational grounds			Other green infrastructure			Total GI	Total Parish Area
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds		
Area (Ha)	0.00	0.45	0.00	22.87	0.22	0.00	0.10	27.39	6.92	0.00	68.58	11.66	20.04	1.23	159.46	251.99
% of Parish GI	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	14.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	17.2%	4.3%	0.0%	43.0%	7.3%	12.6%	0.8%	100.0%	n.a.
% of Parish Area	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	9.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	2.7%	0.0%	27.2%	4.6%	8.0%	0.5%	63.3%	100.0%


### Distribution across green infrastructure types



### Green infrastructure types map



### Green infrastructure functions map



### Green infrastructure types:

Agricultural land	Grassland, Heathland, Moorland, Scrubland	Wetland	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Incidental green space
Allotments	Water bodies	Woodland	Parks, gardens and recreational grounds	Institutional grounds
Orchard	Water courses	Private gardens	Cemeteries	

### Number of functions:



**AREA WEIGHTED  
AVERAGE  
NUMBER OF  
FUNCTIONS PER  
SITE**

**3.5**

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROVIDING FOR PUBLIC OUTDOOR RECREATION

The typology used in the table below is based on the 2008 *Open Space, Sports and Recreation Assessment*.

	Parks & Gardens	Amenity Green Space	Provision for Teenagers & Young People	Play Areas for Children	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Natural & Semi Natural green space	Allotments	Cemeteries & Churchyards
Area (Ha)	0.00	2.57	0.00	0.43	11.18	37.97	0.47	1.38

# WROCKWARDINE WOOD AND TRENCH

RECREATION, HEALTH AND WELLBEING						
Recreation needs	Is quantity appropriate?★ <sup>1</sup>		Beyond quantity: quality, distribution and potential alternative provision	Other health and well being needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
	2011	2031				
Parks and gardens				Green travel routes		Important current needs.
Amenity green space				Healthier, more active lifestyles – Obesity	?	Obesity level amongst adults is 5 percentage points above national average.
Provision for young people				Healthier, more active lifestyles – CHD	?	
Provision for children				Mental illness	?	
Outdoor sports facilities				Evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		EVAPORATIVE COOLING
Contact/access to nature				Green infrastructure supporting healing	?	SHADING
Allotments				Green infrastructure supporting education		
★ <sup>1</sup> <span></span> Deficient <span></span> Satisfactory <span></span> Exceeds need				Quality of burial space		

BIODIVERSITY			ENVIRONMENTAL RESILIENCE		
Wildlife needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments	Climate change-related needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)
Designated habitat for wildlife			Water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness		SURFACE ROUGHNESS WATER INTERCEPTION WATER INFILTRATION WATER STORAGE
Enhanced permeability to allow species movements		Need for enhanced landscape permeability between Wrockwardine Woods and Donnington Woods.	Water conveyance		

SPATIAL QUALITY					
Spatial quality needs green infrastructure can help address	Is level of provision appropriate? ★ <sup>2</sup>	Comments (IN ALL CAPS: FUNCTIONS LABELS - WHEN SEVERAL FUNCTIONS GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PERFORM MAY HELP ADDRESS A PARTICULAR NEED)			
Separation between built-up areas			Availability of water for irrigation during drought		
Beautification supporting dwell time/the visitor economy		AESTHETIC POTENTIAL CULTURAL ASSETS	Wind shelter		
Mitigation against noise & emissions associated with vehicular traffic		NOISE ATTENUATION TRAPPING OF AIR POLLUTANTS	Carbon storage		
Green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	?		Food production		
Preserved or managed landscape settings for heritage assets			Ground stabilisation		
			Biofuel		
			Timber production		
			Removal of pollutants from water/soil		
			★ <sup>2</sup> <span style="color:red">■</span> Deficient <span style="color:yellow">■</span> Satisfactory <span style="color:green">■</span> Exceeds need <span style="color:gray">■</span> Not mapped		

# WROCKWARDINE WOOD AND TRENCH

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE WITHIN THE GREEN NETWORK

	Cultivated land			Natural and semi-natural green spaces					Parks and other recreational			Other green infrastructure		
	Agricultural land	Allotments	Orchard	Grassland, heathland, moorland, scrubland	Water bodies	Water courses	Wetland	Woodland	Outdoor sports facilities	Parks, gardens and other recreational grounds	Private gardens	Incidental green space	Institutional grounds	Cemeteries, churchyards and burial grounds
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.45	0.00	16.63	0.22	0.00	0.10	26.40	4.12	0.00	0.98	5.84	2.92	1.03
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	2.80	0.00	67.60	5.82	17.13	0.20

## Functions performed

	Aesthetic	Accessible water storage	Biofuels production	Burial space	Carbon storage	Corridor for wildlife	Culture	Evaporative cooling	Flow reduction through surface roughness	Food production	Encouraging green travel	Ground stabilisation	Habitat for wildlife	Heritage
Area within Green Network (ha)	58.68	0.22	26.40	1.03	32.01	48.39	1.03	58.68	40.70	0.45	30.00	13.50	2.39	1.03
Area outside Green Network (ha)	100.78	0.00	0.99	0.20	1.24	7.44	0.20	100.78	7.09	0.00	5.86	8.38	0.97	0.63
	Inaccessible water storage	Learning	Noise absorption	Pollutant removal from soil/water	Recreation - private	Recreation - public	Recreation - public with restrictions	Shading from the sun	Timber production	Trapping air pollutants	Water conveyance	Water infiltration	Water interception	Wind shelter
Area within Green Network (ha)	0.00	2.27	19.19	0.00	0.98	50.61	4.57	32.01	26.40	32.01	0.00	0.00	26.40	32.01
Area outside Green Network (ha)	0.00	3.33	0.76	0.00	67.60	16.77	2.80	1.24	0.99	1.24	0.00	0.00	1.03	1.24



# Telford & Wrekin Council

## Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study

### **APPENDIX 2 – Full page maps**

June 2013

Updated May 2016




## Index

Map 1 – Green infrastructure composite typology.....	4
Map 2 – Functions performed by green infrastructure .....	5
Map 3 – Current needs for parks and gardens.....	6
Map 4 – Future needs for parks and gardens given the housing requirement.....	7
Map 5 – Current needs for amenity green space.....	8
Map 6 – Future needs for amenity green space given the housing requirement.....	9
Map 7 – Current needs for provision for young people.....	10
Map 8 – Future needs for provision for young people given the housing requirement.....	11
Map 9 – Percentage of population aged 11-18.....	12
Map 10 – Percentage of population aged 0-10.....	13
Map 11 – Current needs for provision for children.....	14
Map 12 – Future needs for provision for children given the housing requirement .....	15
Map 13 – Current needs for outdoor sports facilities .....	16
Map 14 – Future needs for outdoor sports facilities given the housing requirement.....	17
Map 15 – Current needs for contact with and access to nature.....	18
Map 16 – Future needs for contact with and access to nature given the housing requirement.	19
Map 17 – Current needs for allotments.....	20
Map 18 – Future needs for allotments given the housing requirement.....	21
Map 19 – Current needs for green travel routes .....	22
Map 20 – Future needs for green travel routes given the housing requirement .....	23
Map 21 – Change in needs for green travel routes given the housing requirement.....	24
Map 22 – Need for healthier, more active lifestyles: obesity prevalence amongst adults.....	25
Map 23 – Need for healthier, more active lifestyles: coronary heart diseases admission episodes per unit population aged 40+.....	26
Map 24 – Need for improved mental health.....	27
Map 25 – Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun .....	28
Map 27 – Need for green infrastructure supporting healing .....	29
Map 28 – Need for green infrastructure supporting learning .....	30
Map 29 – Need for quality burial space .....	31
Map 30 – Need for habitat for wildlife.....	32
Map 31 – Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movements.....	33


Map 32 – Need for separation of built-up areas.....	34
Map 33 – Location of residential and main industrial or commercial areas.....	35
Map 34 – Need for attractive environments to support local businesses and the visitor economy .....	36
Map 35 – Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic ....	37
Map 36 – Need for green infrastructure supporting traffic calming.....	38
Map 37 – Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets .....	39
Map 38 – Need for water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness .....	40
Map 39 – Need for water conveyance .....	41
Map 40 – Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought .....	42
Map 41 – Need for wind shelter.....	43
Map 42 – Need for carbon storage .....	44
Map 43 – Need for food production .....	45
Map 44 – Need for ground stabilisation.....	46
Map 45 – Need for biofuel production .....	47
Map 46 – Need for timber production .....	48
Map 47 – Need for pollutant removal from water/soil .....	49

## Map 1 – Green infrastructure composite typology




© Crown Copyright and database right 2013 Ordnance Survey 100031461

## Map 2 – Functions performed by green infrastructure






Map 3 – Current needs for parks and gardens






#### Map 4 – Future needs for parks and gardens given the housing requirement






Map 5 – Current needs for amenity green space






Map 6 – Future needs for amenity green space given the housing requirement






Map 7 – Current needs for provision for young people






Map 8 – Future needs for provision for young people given the housing requirement






Map 9 – Percentage of population aged 11-18






Map 10 – Percentage of population aged 0-10






Map 11 – Current needs for provision for children






Map 12 – Future needs for provision for children given the housing requirement






Map 13 – Current needs for outdoor sports facilities






Map 14 – Future needs for outdoor sports facilities given the housing requirement






Map 15 – Current needs for contact with and access to nature






Map 16 – Future needs for contact with and access to nature given the housing requirement






Map 17 – Current needs for allotments






Map 18 – Future needs for allotments given the housing requirement






Map 19 – Current needs for green travel routes






Map 20 – Future needs for green travel routes given the housing requirement






Map 21 – Change in needs for green travel routes given the housing requirement






Map 22 – Need for healthier, more active lifestyles: obesity prevalence amongst adults






Map 23 – Need for healthier, more active lifestyles: coronary heart diseases admission episodes per unit population aged 40+






Map 24 – Need for improved mental health






Map 25 – Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun






## Map 27 – Need for green infrastructure supporting healing






## Map 28 – Need for green infrastructure supporting learning






Map 29 – Need for quality burial space






## Map 30 – Need for habitat for wildlife






## Map 31 – Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movements






## Map 32 – Need for separation of built-up areas






Map 33 – Location of residential and main industrial or commercial areas






**Map 34 – Need for attractive environments to support local businesses and the visitor economy**






**Map 35 – Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic**






## Map 36 – Need for green infrastructure supporting traffic calming






## Map 37 – Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets






Map 38 – Need for water interception, storage and infiltration through surface roughness






## Map 39 – Need for water conveyance






Map 40 – Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought






## Map 41 – Need for wind shelter






## Map 42 – Need for carbon storage






## Map 43 – Need for food production






## Map 44 – Need for ground stabilisation






Map 45 – Need for biofuel production






### Map 46 – Need for timber production





Map 47 – Need for pollutant removal from water/soil



# Telford & Wrekin Council

## Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study

### **APPENDIX 3 – Suggested green infrastructure interventions**

June 2013



Telford & Wrekin  
COUNCIL



[illegible]

Suggested GI intervention	Need for publicly accessible recreation space	Need for sports pitches	Need for contact with and access to nature	Need for allotments	Need for green travel routes	Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	Need for improved mental health	Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	Need for green infrastructure supporting healing	Need for green infrastructure supporting learning	Need for quality burial space	Need for habitat for wildlife	Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	Need for separation of built-up areas	Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy	Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	Need for green infrastructure to support traffic calming	Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets	Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness	Need for water conveyance	Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought	Need for wind shelter	Need for carbon storage	Need for food production	Need for ground stabilisation	Need for biofuel production	Need for timber production	Need for pollutant removal from soil/water
species and plant to provide shade to buildings (e.g. on south facing facades)																												
Select species with large canopies to capture rainwater																			x									
Select species (e.g. conifers) and plant to provide wind shelter																						x						
Select species and plant for aesthetic quality / image and to provide visual screening	x		x	x	x	x	x		x		x			x	x													
Select species				x																				x				

Suggested GI intervention	to provide fruit and nuts	Planted in streets	Retain existing mature trees on site	Planted along streams, rivers and on floodplains	Select and manage species to provide carbon sequestration and storage	Plant trees to stabilise slopes and soils vulnerable to erosion	Plant trees as
Need for publicly accessible recreation space			x				
Need for sports pitches							
Need for contact with and access to nature			x				
Need for allotments			x				x
Need for green travel routes	x	x	x				
Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	x	x	x				
Need for improved mental health	x	x	x				
Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		x	x				
Need for green infrastructure supporting healing			x				
Need for green infrastructure supporting learning			x				
Need for quality burial space			x				
Need for habitat for wildlife			x				
Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement		x	x				
Need for separation of built-up areas			x				
Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy		x	x				
Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	x	x	x				x
Need for green infrastructure to support traffic calming		x	x				
Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets			x				
Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness			x	x			
Need for water conveyance							
Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought							
Need for wind shelter			x				
Need for carbon storage			x		x		
Need for food production			x				
Need for ground stabilisation			x			x	
Need for biofuel production			x				
Need for timber production			x				
Need for pollutant removal from soil/water			x				



Suggested GI intervention	part of a sound barrier	Manage trees on site as a timber and/or fuel resource
Need for publicly accessible recreation space		
Need for sports pitches		
Need for contact with and access to nature		
Need for allotments		
Need for green travel routes		
Need for healthier, more active lifestyles		
Need for improved mental health		
Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun		
Need for green infrastructure supporting healing		
Need for green infrastructure supporting learning		
Need for quality burial space		
Need for habitat for wildlife		
Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement		
Need for separation of built-up areas		
Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy		
Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic		
Need for green infrastructure to support traffic calming		
Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets		
Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness		
Need for water conveyance		
Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought		
Need for wind shelter		
Need for carbon storage		
Need for food production		
Need for ground stabilisation		
Need for biofuel production		x
Need for timber production		x
Need for pollutant removal from soil/water		

[illegible]

[illegible]



Suggested GI intervention	Need for publicly accessible recreation space	Need for sports pitches	Need for contact with and access to nature	Need for allotments	Need for green travel routes	Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	Need for improved mental health	Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	Need for natural assets supporting healing	Need for natural assets supporting learning	Need for quality burial space	Need for habitat for wildlife	Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	Need for separation of built-up areas	Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy	Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	Need for green measures to support traffic calming	Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets	Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness	Need for water conveyance	Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought	Need for wind shelter	Need for carbon storage	Need for food production	Need for ground stabilisation	Need for biofuel production	Need for timber production	Need for pollutant removal from soil/water
Install green walls					x		x	x	x	x		x	x		x									x				
Plant to provide shade to buildings (e.g. on south facing facades); reducing direct solar gain in summer, use species to allow for solar gain in winter								x																				
Plant to increase biodiversity (e.g. species to provide food and habitat)												x	x															
Grow food crops																								x				

Suggested GI intervention	Plant to improve aesthetic quality or image
Need for publicly accessible recreation space	
Need for sports pitches	
Need for contact with and access to nature	
Need for allotments	
Need for green travel routes	x
Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	
Need for improved mental health	x
Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	
Need for natural assets supporting healing	x
Need for natural assets supporting learning	x
Need for quality burial space	
Need for habitat for wildlife	
Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	
Need for separation of built-up areas	
Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy	x
Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	
Need for green measures to support traffic calming	
Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets	
Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness	
Need for water conveyance	
Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought	
Need for wind shelter	
Need for carbon storage	
Need for food production	
Need for ground stabilisation	
Need for biofuel production	
Need for timber production	
Need for pollutant removal from soil/water	

Suggested GI intervention	Need for publicly accessible recreation space	Need for sports pitches	Need for contact with and access to nature	Need for allotments	Need for green travel routes	Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	Need for improved mental health	Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	Need for natural assets supporting healing	Need for natural assets supporting learning	Need for quality burial space	Need for habitat for wildlife	Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	Need for separation of built-up areas	Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy	Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	Need for green measures to support traffic calming	Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets	Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness	Need for water conveyance	Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought	Need for wind shelter	Need for carbon storage	Need for food production	Need for ground stabilisation	Need for biofuel production	Need for timber production	Need for pollutant removal from soil/water
General vegetation-related interventions	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x	x	x		x
Increase green cover on site	x		x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x						x			x
Design green infrastructure on site to provide a variety of micro-climates for users (e.g. access to sun, shade, wind, shelter)	x		x	x	x	x		x																				
Plant vegetation to stabilise slopes and soils vulnerable to erosion																								x				



[illegible]

Suggested GI intervention	Minimise use of mown lawns on site	Avoid development in areas of high carbon storage	Design the green infrastructure to improve the image of the area, taking into account landscape character	Provide public access to the on-site green infrastructure, including any linear features such as rivers and canals
Need for publicly accessible recreation space			x	x
Need for sports pitches				
Need for contact with and access to nature	x		x	x
Need for allotments				x
Need for green travel routes			x	x
Need for healthier, more active lifestyles				x
Need for improved mental health				x
Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun				
Need for natural assets supporting healing				x
Need for natural assets supporting learning				x
Need for quality burial space				
Need for habitat for wildlife	x			
Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	x			
Need for separation of built-up areas			x	
Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy			x	
Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic				
Need for green measures to support traffic calming				
Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets			x	
Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness				
Need for water conveyance				
Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought				
Need for wind shelter				
Need for carbon storage		x		
Need for food production				
Need for ground stabilisation				
Need for biofuel production				
Need for timber production				
Need for pollutant removal from soil/water				

[illegible]



Suggested GI intervention	Need for publicly accessible recreation space	Need for sports pitches	Need for contact with and access to nature	Need for allotments	Need for green travel routes	Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	Need for improved mental health	Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	Need for natural assets supporting healing	Need for natural assets supporting learning	Need for quality burial space	Need for habitat for wildlife	Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	Need for separation of built-up areas	Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy	Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	Need for green measures to support traffic calming	Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets	Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness	Need for water conveyance	Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought	Need for wind shelter	Need for carbon storage	Need for food production	Need for ground stabilisation	Need for biofuel production	Need for timber production	Need for pollutant removal from soil/water
No development on best and most versatile agricultural land														x										x				
Safeguard any allotments on site				x																				x				
Create allotments on site				x																				x				
Use species that provide food, including fruit and nuts																								x				
Compost household and garden waste for use on site																								x				

[illegible]

[illegible]



	<b>Suggested GI intervention</b>	
	Need for publicly accessible recreation space	
	Need for sports pitches	
	Need for contact with and access to nature	
	Need for allotments	
	Need for green travel routes	
	Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	
	Need for improved mental health	
	Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	
	Need for natural assets supporting healing	
	Need for natural assets supporting learning	
	Need for quality burial space	
	Need for habitat for wildlife	
	Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	
	Need for separation of built-up areas	
	Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy	
	Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	
	Need for green measures to support traffic calming	
	Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets	
	Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness	x
	Need for water conveyance	x
	Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought	x
	Need for wind shelter	
	Need for carbon storage	
	Need for food production	
	Need for ground stabilisation	
	Need for biofuel production	
	Need for timber production	
	Need for pollutant removal from soil/water	x
Use Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) as part of the on-site green infrastructure so there is no increase in runoff post-development and water quality is improved		

Suggested GI intervention	Need for publicly accessible recreation space	Need for sports pitches	Need for contact with and access to nature	Need for allotments	Need for green travel routes	Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	Need for improved mental health	Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	Need for natural assets supporting healing	Need for natural assets supporting learning	Need for quality burial space	Need for habitat for wildlife	Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	Need for separation of built-up areas	Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy	Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	Need for green measures to support traffic calming	Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets	Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness	Need for water conveyance	Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought	Need for wind shelter	Need for carbon storage	Need for food production	Need for ground stabilisation	Need for biofuel production	Need for timber production	Need for pollutant removal from soil/water
Use permeable surfacing within the design of any green infrastructure areas																			x									x
Where soils have a high water infiltration rate, keep surfaces unsealed																			x									x
Harvest, store and use rainwater on-site to irrigate green infrastructure (so that it provides urban cooling)																			x		x							x

Suggested GI intervention	Increase of blue cover and features on site for its role in urban cooling	Irrigate green infrastructure on site, preferably from a sustainable source (e.g. greywater or harvested rainwater)
Need for publicly accessible recreation space		x
Need for sports pitches		x
Need for contact with and access to nature		
Need for allotments		x
Need for green travel routes		
Need for healthier, more active lifestyles		
Need for improved mental health		
Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	x	x
Need for natural assets supporting healing		
Need for natural assets supporting learning		
Need for quality burial space		x
Need for habitat for wildlife		x
Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement		x
Need for separation of built-up areas		
Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy		x
Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic		
Need for green measures to support traffic calming		
Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets		x
Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness		x
Need for water conveyance		
Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought		x
Need for wind shelter		
Need for carbon storage		
Need for food production		x
Need for ground stabilisation		
Need for biofuel production		
Need for timber production		
Need for pollutant removal from soil/water		x



[illegible]

[illegible]

# Telford & Wrekin Council

## Local Green Infrastructure Needs Study

### **APPENDIX 4 – Data confidence appraisal**

June 2013



Telford & Wrekin  
COUNCIL



The following table provides a critical appraisal of the suitability of the indicators and data used as a proxy to assess each dimension of need. Where significant issues exist, they could potentially be addressed in the future if better data were to become available, or if a more in-depth study focussing on the dimension of need in question was carried out.

Table 5 – Confidence rating

●	<b>Good proxy</b> – small issues only
●	<b>Reasonable proxy</b> – significant issues
●	<b>Weak proxy</b> – included to highlight that the dimension of need exists

Table 6 – Confidence appraisal

Dimension of need	Confidence
Need for publicly accessible recreation space	●
Need for sports pitches	●
Need for contact with and access to nature	●
Need for allotments	●
Need for green travel routes	●
Need for healthier, more active lifestyles	●
Need for improved mental health	●
Need for evaporative cooling and protection from the sun	●
Need for green infrastructure supporting healing	●
Need for green infrastructure supporting learning	●
Need for quality burial space	●
Need for habitat for wildlife	●
Need for enhanced permeability to allow species movement	●
Need for separation of built-up areas	●
Need for beautification to support local businesses and the visitor economy	●
Need for mitigation against noise and emissions associated with vehicular traffic	●
Need for green infrastructure supporting traffic calming	●
Need for preserved/managed landscape settings for heritage assets	●
Need for water interception, storage and infiltration as well as flow reduction through surface roughness	●
Need for water conveyance	●
Need for availability of water for irrigation during drought	●
Need for wind shelter	●
Need for carbon storage	●
Need for food production	●
Need for ground stabilisation	●
Need for biofuel production	●
Need for timber production	●
Need for pollutant removal from soil/water	●