

Telford & Wrekin Local Plan Correspondence with Inspector

Date: 7 July 2016

EiP library reference number: F1a

The inspector has asked the following question:

The documentation contains five letters/e-mails classed as 'late representations'. Can you please confirm with the Council whether or not it considers these to be 'duly made' and whether it wishes these parties to be treated as representors under section 20(6) of the 2004 Act.

The Council offers the following response.

The representations the Inspector refers to were all submitted late. However, our understanding is that there is some discretion in relation to how such submissions should be handled.

In this case, one of the late submissions was only 33 minutes late. The others were a day or so late. One of the late representations involved the substitution of the name of the organisation submitting the representation.

The delays in respect of these late submissions were not such that they held up the submission of the Local Plan to the Planning Inspectorate or the timetable for progressing the Local Plan. The Council has read all of these submissions and, in these circumstances, agrees that these late representations should be considered to be "duly made" and wishes these parties to be treated as representors under section 20(6) of the 2004 Act.